Infections of *Unicauda clavicauda* (Kudo, 1934) (Myxozoa) in the skin of *Notropis hudsonius* (Cyprinidae) from Montana, with a synopsis of the genus *Unicauda* Davis, 1944
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Abstract. Infections of *Unicauda clavicauda* (Kudo, 1920) Davis, 1944 (Myxozoa) are described from *Notropis hudsonius* (Clinton) in Montana. Plasmodia form beneath scales of the body and produced spores within a loosely-defined matrix. Fixed spores are oval in frontal view, 11-14 µm long and 9-10.5 µm wide, and contain a posterior appendage that is up to 26 µm long. The polar capsules are 4-6 µm long and 2.5-4 µm wide. The study represents the first report of *U. clavicauda* since the original species description and a new host and geographical record. The taxonomic history of *Unicauda* Davis, 1944 is summarised and it is concluded the following 10 species are valid members of the genus: *U. aristichthydis* Zhao et Ma, 1995, *U. brachyura* (Ward, 1919), *U. caudatus* (Gogebashvili, 1965), *U. clavicauda*, *U. crassicauda* (Kudo, 1934), *U. lumae* Rahemo, 1976, *U. macrura* (Gurley, 1893), *U. magna* Minchew, 1981, *U. pelteobagrus* Ma, 1998, and *U. wuhanensis* Xiao et Chen, 1993. All of these species have circular, subcircular, or oval spores in frontal view and all parasitise fish of the superorder Ostariophysi. As a group they are known from temperate freshwater locations in North America, Europe and Asia. A list of 16 species described or transferred to *Unicauda* at one time or another, but not recognised as members of the genus in the present study, is provided.

Species of *Unicauda* Davis, 1944 (Myxozoa) are histozoic myxobolids with a characteristic single appendix extending posteriorly from the fully-developed spore. The appendix is not an extension of the spore valves, but rather a structure made up of a different material and adhering to the shell valves along a distinct boundary (Lom and Noble 1984). The genus has a confused taxonomic history, with various species being transferred in and out of the taxon (Gupta and Noble 1984). The study represents the first report of *U. clavicauda* since the original species description and a new host and geographical record. The taxonomic history of *Unicauda* Davis, 1944 is summarised and it is concluded the following 10 species are valid members of the genus: *U. aristichthydis* Zhao et Ma, 1995, *U. brachyura* (Ward, 1919), *U. caudatus* (Gogebashvili, 1965), *U. clavicauda*, *U. crassicauda* (Kudo, 1934), *U. lumae* Rahemo, 1976, *U. macrura* (Gurley, 1893), *U. magna* Minchew, 1981, *U. pelteobagrus* Ma, 1998, and *U. wuhanensis* Xiao et Chen, 1993. All of these species have circular, subcircular, or oval spores in frontal view and all parasitise fish of the superorder Ostariophysi. As a group they are known from temperate freshwater locations in North America, Europe and Asia. A list of 16 species described or transferred to *Unicauda* at one time or another, but not recognised as members of the genus in the present study, is provided.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five infected spottail shiner were collected from Timber Reservoir, Montana, June 9, 1993, and preserved in 10% buffered formalin. Temporary wet mounts in 1% molten agar were prepared and used to examine spores. Photographs of selected fixed, stabilised spores were made from Kodak T-Max 100 film developed by recommended procedures. Histological sections of infected tissue were prepared using standard methods and stained with Giemsa stain. Voucher slides are deposited in the U.S. National Parasite Collection (Accession No. 090041.00), Beltsville, Maryland. All reported measurements are in micrometres unless stated otherwise.

RESULTS

The body flanks of all five shiners were covered with ovoid, white cysts measuring up to 1 mm in length and having a coarsely crenulated margin. Sections revealed that plasmodia developed immediately beneath, and abutted directly against, the underside of scales (Fig. 1). The epidermis overlying infected scales was lifted or detached, and often the posterior margin of the scale was raised. In every case examined, the plasmodia did not extend beyond the edge of the scale.

Plasmodia were comprised of a thin, faintly staining ectoplasm and an internal endoplasm comprised of a loosely defined matrix containing developed spores throughout. Fixed spores were subcircular in frontal view (Figs. 2, 3) and measured 11-14 µm (mean 12.3 ± 0.7, n = 15) long and 9-10.5 µm (10.1 ± 0.7, n = 14) wide. They had a width-to-length ratio of 1 : 1.2 ± 0.08. The two polar capsules were similar in size, pyriform, 4-6 µm (5.1 ± 0.4, n = 14) long, 2.5-4.0 µm (3.0 ± 0.6, n = 15) wide, and usually converged at their apex.
Fig. 1. Histological section of plasmodia (arrows) of Unicauda clavicauda situated immediately beneath dermal scales of Notropis hudsonius. Fig. 2. Fixed spores of U. clavicauda. Scale bars: Fig. 1 = 100 µm; Fig. 2 = 10 µm.

However, in some spores, the tapered ends diverged from the longitudinal axis of the capsule to run parallel toward the exit pores. A round to bean-shaped sporoplasm filled the post-capsular region of the spore and there were 3-5 sutural ridge folds. There was no obvious intercapsular appendix and an iodinophilous vacuole was not detected in the spores.

The spore appendage was straight or curved slightly to one side of the longitudinal axis (Figs. 2, 3) and measured 5.5-26 µm (17.4 ± 5.2, n = 15) long. It abutted the valves in a cup-shaped fashion and gradually tapered distally, sometimes with 3 or 4 annular constrictions occurring evenly along its length. Developing spores had no evidence of an appendage. Spores with a short, and presumably forming appendage, had a blunt terminal end but no hint of any external cellular structure that could be linked with its formation. Long appendages had a pointed terminal end.

**DISCUSSION**

Unicauda clavicauda (Kudo, 1934) Davis, 1944 was originally described from the straw-coloured minnow Notropis blennius in the Rock River, Illinois (Kudo
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1934). The present report is a new host and geographical record and the first since the original species description.

Kudo (1934) considered *U. clavicauda* to have several diagnostic features. The spores are subcircular in frontal view with an appendage measuring 20-30 µm and having annular constrictions and a pointed tip. The polar capsules have a narrow tubular region that run parallel to each other before reaching the exit pores. These features varied somewhat in the new material. For example, spores were identical in shape but slightly larger in size and the aligned exit tubes were seen in some but not all spores. Similarly, the appendage was often blunt, not always pointed, and not necessarily with annular constrictions. Gurley (1894) noted that the annular constrictions varied with the type of fixative used.

There are four other confirmed members of the genus known from freshwater fishes in North America (Table 1). All form histozoic plasmodia in skin tissue and all have subcircular spores in frontal view. Spores of *U. clavicauda* are smaller than those of *U. magna* but similar in size to those of *U. crassicauda* (Table 1). The appendage in *U. clavicauda* is significantly shorter than those of *U. macrura*, *U. magna*, and *U. crassicauda*, but longer in maximum length than that of *U. brachyura* (Table 1). Both *U. macrura* and *U. brachyura* have spores that are apparently narrower than those of *U. clavicauda* (Table 1). All measurements of spores of these species are based on fixed material.

*Unicauda clavicauda* was originally reported from plasmodia in subdermal connective tissue of the body and fins. The cysts we found occurred in loose connective tissue of the scale pockets above the dermis, abutting the underside of scales. The species clearly has an affinity for connective tissue associated with dermal scales and in this regard resembles other scale-dwelling myxosporeans such as *Myxobolus notemigoni* Lewis et Summerfelt, 1964, *Myxobolus squamaphilus* Molnár, 1997, and *Myxobolus episquamalis* Egusa, Maeno et Sorimachi, 1997. However, in each case, the myxosporean has a unique association with the host scale. For example, plasmodia of *M. notemigoni* occur immediately beneath the scales of *Notemigonus crysoleucas* and adhere directly to them (Lewis and Summerfelt 1964) similar to that found with *U. clavicauda*. *Myxobolus episquamalis* forms large, flat cysts on the surface of the scales of *Mugil cephalus*, with plasmodia taking on branched hyphal-like forms that liquefy and penetrate scales (Egusa et al. 1990). In contrast, *M. squamaphilus* forms small, lentil-shaped plasmodia on the surface of the scales of *Abramis brama*, with no penetration (Molnár 1997). Whatever the specific association with the host scale, all of the mentioned species develop at a site convenient for immediate dispersal of spores away from the host. For *M. squamaphilus*, such release has been observed in the late spring with no report of host mortality (Molnár 1997).

The compositional makeup of the appendage of *Unicauda* has not been characterised to date. We know it dissolves in hydrochloric acid, stains rose-red or pink with Giemsa and with Delafield haematoxylin, stains blue-grey with Lugol’s iodine, and is rendered invisible in balsam-mounted histological sections (Kudo 1934, Minchew 1981). The appendage resembles most closely the two, rigid appendages of *Dicauda* Hoffman et Walker, 1978, which are thought to be modified mucous envelopes present in various degrees of development in other myxobolids (Hoffman and Walker 1978). How the appendages are formed into specific shapes and sizes remains a mystery. We do know that they form after development of the spore.

Davis (1944) proposed the genus to accommodate henneguyid-like species with a single caudal extension of the spore. The genus was considered a junior synonym of *Henneguya* Thélohan, 1832 by Shulman (1966, 1984) but has been accepted by most authors as valid (Tripathi 1952, Wyatt 1979, Lom and Dyková 1992, Gupta and Khera 1987, Chen and Ma 1998, Hoffman 1999) given the obvious lack of homology between appendages in spores of the two taxa.

We have examined descriptions of 26 nominal species that have at one time or another been assigned to *Unicauda* and conclude that only 10 of these can be considered valid members of the genus. In each case, the appendage is clearly noted as being a single, separate entity from the spore valves. These species include:
1. *U. aristichthydis* Zhao et Ma, 1995 from *Aristichthys nobilis* (Cypriniformes) in China (see Chen and Ma 1998);
2. *U. brachyura* (Ward, 1919) Davis, 1944 from *Notropis anogenus* (Cypriniformes) in Lake Erie, U.S.A. (Ward 1919);
4. *U. clavicauda* (Kudo, 1934) Davis, 1944 from *Notropis blennius* (Cypriniformes) in Illinois, U.S.A. (Kudo 1934) and *Notropis hudsonius* in Montana, U.S.A. (present study);
5. *U. crassicauda* (Kudo, 1934) Davis, 1944 from *Campostoma anomalum* (Cypriniformes) in Illinois, U.S.A. (Kudo 1934);
6. *U. lumae* Rahemo, 1976 from *Barbus grypus* (Cypriniformes) in River Tigris, Iraq (Rahemo 1976);
7. *U. macrura* (Gurley, 1893) Davis, 1944 from *Hybognathus nuchalis* (Cypriniformes) in Texas, U.S.A. (Gurley 1893);
9. *U. pelteobagrus* Ma, 1998 from *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*, *P. vachelli*, and *P. nitigus* (Siluriformes) in Sichuan Province, China (Chen and Ma 1998);
10. *U. wuhanensis* Xiao et Chen, 1993 from *Carassius auratus gibelio* (Cypriniformes) in China (see Chen and Ma 1998).

Interestingly, in each case, spores are circular, subcircular, or oval in frontal view and hosts involved are members of the superorder Ostariophysi. It appears that the lineage *Unicauda* has had a long evolutionary history with these freshwater fishes, with current distributions extending throughout temperate regions of the Northern hemisphere, including North America, Europe and Asia. The parasites described to date are host specific within separate fish genera.

The report of *Unicauda* sp. from *P. promelas* in northwest Iowa (Krall et al. 1982, Mitchell et al. 1983) appears to have involved *U. magna*. The report of *U. crassicauda* from *Ptychocheilus gachua* (Perciformes) in British Columbia (Arai and Mudry 1983) must be confirmed since a description of spores was not provided.

Table 1. Summary of morphological characteristics reported for confirmed species of *Unicauda* Davis, 1944 reported from host fishes in North America.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spore width</td>
<td>10-11.5</td>
<td>10-11.5</td>
<td>9.5-9.5</td>
<td>12-14.5</td>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>14-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spore thickness</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.5-10.5</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>12-14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar capsule length</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>5-5.5</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>7.5-9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar capsule width</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5-4</td>
<td>3-3.5</td>
<td>3-0.5-5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendage length</td>
<td>up to 17</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>up to 26</td>
<td>40-55</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>60-154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host species</td>
<td>Notropis anogenus</td>
<td>Notropis blennius</td>
<td>Notropis hudsonius</td>
<td><em>Campostoma anomalum</em></td>
<td><em>Hybognathus nuchalis</em></td>
<td><em>Pimephales promelas</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue site</td>
<td>Cartilaginous fin rays</td>
<td>connective tissue</td>
<td>connective tissue</td>
<td>connective tissue</td>
<td>connective tissue</td>
<td>Fins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Lake Erie</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remaining 16 species are considered questionable members of the genus because of lack of detail about the appendage. These include:
1. *U. armati* Gupta et Khera, 1987 from *Mastacembelus armatus* (Synbranchiformes) in Punjab, India (Gupta and Khera 1987);
2. *U. andhrae* Kalavati et Narasimhamurti, 1981 from *Ophicephalus gachua* (Perciformes) in Andhra Pradesh, India (Kalavati and Narasimhamurti 1981);
3. *U. basiri* Bhatt et Siddiqui, 1964 from *Ophicephalus punctatus* (Perciformes) in Aligarh, India (Bhatt and Siddiqui 1964);
4. *U. bengalensis* Chaudhuri et Chakravarty, 1970 from *O. punctatus* in Naihati, India (Chaudhuri and Chakravarty 1970);
5. *U. bicornuata* Chaudhuri et Chakravarty, 1970 from *O. punctatus* in Calcutta, India (Chaudhuri and Chakravarty 1970);
6. *U. carassii* (Fujita, 1924) Davis, 1944 from *Carassius vulgaris* (Cypriniformes) in Okayama, Japan (Fujita 1924);
7. *U. chaudhuryi* Bajpai et Haldar, 1982 from *Ophicephalus punctatus* in West Bengal, India (Bajpai and Haldar 1982a);
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