Review of the genus *Progrillotia* Dollfus, 1946 (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha), with a redescription of *Progrillotia pastinacae* Dollfus, 1946 and description of *Progrillotia dasyatidis* sp. n.
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Abstract. *Progrillotia pastinacae* Dollfus, 1946 (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) is redescribed from the spiral valve of *Dasyatis pastinaca* (Linnaeus) (Dasyatidae) from the coast of France. *Progrillotia dasyatidis* sp. n. is described from the spiral valves of *Dasyatis tortonesei* Capapé (Dasyatidae) from the Mediterranean in the Gulf of Gabès (Tunisia) and *D. pastinaca* from the Bassin d’Arcachon (France). The new species differs from congeners in having, on the tentacles, a single rather than two rows of intercalary hooks and fewer testes. The generic definition is emended based upon the new species, the redescription of *P. pastinacae* Dollfus, 1946 and re-examination of the type specimen of *P. louiseuzeti* Dollfus, 1969. Important additional characters noted are that the tentacular hooks are solid, a prebulbar organ is present and that there are gland cells attached to the terminal genitalia were not described. In addition, the features of the genital region of the type species, *P. pastinacae*, possessed two bothridia and an atypical heteroacanthous armature, but differed from species of *Grillotia* in the anatomy of the adult with the testes lying exclusively anterior to the ovary, hence the name *Progrillotia*. Subsequently, Dollfus (1969) added a second species, *P. louiseuzeti*, collected from *Dasyatis violacea* (Bonaparte, 1832), from Sète, on the Mediterranean coast of France. Dollfus (1969) elevated *Progrillotia* to generic rank, noting that in addition to the disposition of the testes anterior to the ovary, the two species of *Progrillotia* possessed an armature that differed from *Grillotia* in lacking a continuous band of small hooks on the external surface of the tentacle. Both species of *Progrillotia* were incompletely described and the critical details of the distribution of hooks on the external surface of the metabasal region of the tentacle were not described. In addition, the features of the terminal genitalia were not described.

More recently, Carvajal and Rego (1983) described *P. dollfusii* from the teleost *Cynoscion striatus* (Cuvier, 1829) in Brazil, based exclusively on the plerocercus. The features and the morphological disposition of this species are not known and hence the critical feature of testis distribution remains to be established. The species has been redescribed by Pereira (1998).

A preliminary cladistic analysis of genera of the Trypanorhyncha by Beveridge et al. (1999) failed to resolve the position of the genus *Progrillotia* within the order, placing it between the clade containing the families Eutetrarhynchidae, Tetrahygrobothriidae, Rhinoptericolidae, Shirleyryhnchidae and Mixodigmatidae on the one hand and the sister clade containing the families Grillotiidae, Otobothriidae, Pterobothriidae, Mustelicolidae, Lacistorhynchidae, Dasyrhyhchinidae and Hornelliellidae on the other (Beveridge et al. 1999, fig. 3). In phylogenetic classifications, the genus has been placed in the Grillotiidae by Campbell and Beveridge (1994) and the Lacistorhynchidae by Palm (1997). Thus in both phylogenetic and cladistic studies, the position of the genus remains unresolved, due largely to inadequate information on its morphology.

In the current paper, a new species of *Progrillotia* is described from the stingray *Dasyatis tortonesei* Capapé, 1977 from the Mediterranean and additional features of the type species, *P. pastinacae*, are provided from new specimens collected from the type host, *D. pastinaca*, in the Golfe de Gascogne. The type of the third species, *P. louiseuzeti*, was also examined. The key morphological features of the genus are re-examined, the genus is redefined and an attempt made to resolve its taxonomic and phylogenetic affiliations.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of hosts and preservation of cestodes. Specimens of *Dasyatis tortonesei* were collected by L. Neifar using either net or hand-line from the Gulf of Gabès, Tunisia (Neifar et al. 2000). Rays were identified following McEachran and Capapé (1984). Specimens of *Dasyatis pastinaca* were collected at Arcachon, on the Atlantic coast of France. Fish were dissected as soon as possible after capture. The spiral valve was tied off at the posterior extremity to prevent loss of contents and injected with a solution containing 8% formalin. Spiral valves were then stored in 5% formalin prior to dissection. Following removal from the spiral valve, cestodes were stored in 70% ethanol.

Cestodes were stained with Celestine blue, dehydrated in ethanol, cleared in methyl salicylate and mounted in Canada balsam. Drawings were made with the aid of a drawing tube attached to an Olympus BH microscope. Measurements were made with an ocular micrometer and are presented in micrometres (µm) unless otherwise stated as the range followed, in parentheses, by the mean and number of specimens measured. Specimens have been deposited in the Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN) or in The Natural History Museum, London (BMNH).

Type specimens of *Progrillotia pastinacae* and *Progrillotia louiseaezeti* in MNHN were examined and drawings of specific morphological features made from them.

Terminology for morphological characters peculiar to the trypanorhynchs follows Dollfus (1942) and Campbell and Beveridge (1994). Tentacular hooks of the principal rows are numbered from the internal to the external surface of the tentacle, with hooks of the antithoridial surface indicated by a prime following Dollfus (1942). Intercalary hooks are shown in black and are lettered rather than numbered, again with a prime indicating those of the antithoridial surface (Dollfus 1942).

To further investigate the phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Progrillotia*, the full character matrix used by Beveridge et al. (1999) as well as the same outgroups was re-examined following recording of characters based on the description presented below. Character codings altered were: 7: prebulbar organ present (1); 16: uterine pore absent (1); 17: uterus, straight, tubular (0); 20: gland cells present within bulb (1); 28: hooks solid (0) and 33: armature heteroacanthous atypical (1). Analyses were run using PAUP 3.1.1 together with the same settings employed by Beveridge et al. (1999). The strict and 50% consensus tree was obtained and branches with low frequencies of occurrence were collapsed as described by Beveridge et al. (1999).

Host nomenclature follows Eschmeyer (1998).

RESULTS

*Progrillotia pastinacae* Dollfus, 1946  
Figs. 1–10

**Type material:** From spiral valve of *Dasyatis pastinaca* (Linnaeus, 1758), Concarneau, Atlantic coast of Brittany, France (47°53’N, 3°55’W), coll. R. Legendre, MNHN, Bd 28, slides 81–82.

**Material examined:** Types; 136 specimens from *D. pastinaca*, Arcachon, Golfe de Gascogne, France (44°40’N, 1°11’W), coll. L. Euzet, 17.vi.1953, 25.vi.1953, representative specimens deposited: MNHN 61HG, CIX, slide 182.

**Description.** Mature specimens 2.04–3.82 (2.75, n = 10) mm long composed of 6–8 (7.2, n = 10) segments (Fig. 6); maximum width of strobila 0.12–0.25 (0.17, n = 10) mm. Scolex acraspedote, 0.96–1.06 (1.01, n = 10) mm long, maximum width in pars vaginalis 0.15–0.20 (0.17, n = 10) mm (Fig. 7); pars bothridialis 0.18–0.23 (0.21, n = 10) mm long; two elongate sub-cordiform bothridia without distinct margins or a distinct posterior indentation, width of bothridium 0.15 (0.13–0.17, n = 9) mm (Fig. 7). Pars vaginalis 0.40–0.51 (0.46, n = 10) mm long; tentacular sheaths sinuose. Bulbs elongate, 0.44–0.65 (0.54, n = 10) mm long, 0.06–0.08 (0.07, n = 10) mm wide, length : width ratio 6.7–10.4 (7.8, n = 10) (Fig. 9); prominent prebulbar organ at junction of sheath with bulb (Fig. 9); retractor muscle originates at base of bulb, posterior part of retractor surrounded within bulb by linear array of gland cells; bulbs project into pars proliferans scolecis. Scolex ratio, pars bothridialis : pars vaginalis : pars bulbosa 1 : 2.20 : 2.56.

Maximum length of everted tentacles 0.31 mm; tentacles without basal swelling, 23–31 (28, n = 10) in diameter at base, 23–27 (26, n = 10) in metabasal region.

Armature heteroacanthous, atypical; hooks solid. Basal armature consists of 2–3 rows of hooks beginning on internal surface (Fig. 1), terminating on external surface (Fig. 3); hooks with elongate, slender, strongly recurved blade, length 10–20 (15, n = 5), base elongate with blunt guard, 8–15 (10, n = 5), diminishing in size from internal to external surface. Metabasal armature consists of ascending rows of 5, sometimes 6, hooks beginning on internal surface, terminating on external surface (Fig. 3). Prominent space between hooks 1 and 1’ on internal surface (Fig. 1). Hooks 1(1’) large, uncinate with thickened base, length 21–27 (25, n = 10), base 20–24 (23, n = 10) (Fig. 4); hooks 2(2’) erect, falcate, with slender blade, length 21–27 (25, n = 10), base 13–14 (14, n = 10); hooks 3(3’) falcate, slightly longer than 2(2’) but with narrower base, length 27 (23–29, n = 10), base 7–10 (8, n = 10); hooks 4(4’) falcate, shorter than 3(3’) with less curved blade and with slightly narrower base, length 20–25 (22, n = 10), base 5–8 (7, n = 10); hooks 5(5’) falcate, considerably shorter than 4(4’), length 13–18 (16, n = 10), base 5–8 (6, n = 10); hooks 6(6’) present in some but not most rows, falcate, short blade, length 10–13 (11, n = 4), base 4–7 (5, n = 4) (Fig. 4). Intercalary hooks generally arranged in two rows, commencing between hooks 1(1’) and 2(2’) (Figs. 2, 3, 5), first row consisting of single, small, falcate hook (aa’) followed by 3 hooks (bb’–dd’) with slender, recurved blade but with obtuse base (Fig. 5); hooks diminish in size along row; posterior row of two tiny falcate hooks (ee’, ff’), second hook only clearly visible in bothridial views; hook length 4–5 (6, n = 10), base 2–7 (3, n = 10); anterior row of intercalary
Fig. 1. Metabasal tentacular armature, internal surface of tentacle. 

Fig. 2. Basal and metabasal armature, antibothridial surface of tentacle. 

Fig. 3. Basal and metabasal tentacular armature, external surface. 

Fig. 4. Profiles of hooks 1(1') to 6(6') from the principal rows. 

Fig. 5. Arrangement of intercalary rows of tentacular hooks, external surface, showing three hooks, a(a')–c(c') with broad base and slender recurved blade, hooks d(d') uncinate with broad base and short, robust, recurved blade, and a second row of hooks (e–f) composed of spiniform hooks. Hooks of principal rows are numbered 1–6 on the antibothridial surface and 1'–6' on the bothridial surface. Scale bars = 0.01 mm.
Figs. 6–10. Progrillotia pastinaceae Dollfus, 1946 from Dasyatis pastinaca. Fig. 6. Entire mature worm. Fig. 7. Scolex. Fig. 8. Mature segment. Fig. 9. Bulb showing retractor muscle (R), glands attached to retractor muscle (G) and prebulbar organ (P) at anterior end of bulb. Fig. 10. Cirrus sac showing unarmed cirrus (C) leading from genital atrium (GA) to internal seminal vesicle (ISV) and adnate external seminal vesicle (ESV), with ventral osmoregulatory canal (V). Scale bars: Figs. 6–9 = 0.1 mm; Fig. 10 = 0.01 mm.
hooks terminates in distinctive uncinate hook, 3–5 (4, n = 10) in length, base 3–5 (4, n = 10); in basal and metabalgal regions, intercalary rows consist of series of ascending arrays composed of 8–10 hooks; hooks initially spinisform; final hooks of intercalary row enlarged, uncinate (Fig. 3).

Segments acraspedote. Mature segments 0.35–1.15 (0.76, n = 10) mm long, maximum width 0.13–0.24 (0.16, n = 10) mm (Fig. 8). Genital pores alternate irregularly, in shallow depression of segment margin, 0.16–0.61 (0.37, n = 10) mm from anterior extremity. Testes preovarian, arranged in two parallel columns in segment; total number of testes 20–28 (24, n = 5), arranged as 10–14 (12, n = 10) antiporal and 10–14 (12, n = 10) poral, with 6–10 (8, n = 10) anterior to cirrus sac, 3–4 (3, n = 10) posterior to cirrus sac; testis diameter 47–70 (59, n = 10). Cirrus sac 62–98 (78, n = 5) by 43–59 (51, n = 5); cirrus everted in one segment, unarmed. Cirrus sinuous, leads to crescentic internal seminal vesicle adjacent to proximal pole; adnate external seminal vesicle on antero-medial aspect of cirrus sac (Fig. 10); vas deferens coils posteriorly from external seminal vesicle towards ovarian isthmus. Vagina opens to genital atrium at same level as cirrus sac, runs medially then posteriorly towards ovary. Ovary in posterior part of segment, 4-lobed, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobes 101–312 (211, n = 5) long, 31–39 (35, n = 5) wide. Mehlis’ gland immediately posterior to ovarian isthmus, 30 in diameter. Vitelline follicles 16–27 (23, n = 10) in diameter, cortical, scattered around margin of segment. Uterus simple, tubular, reaching anterior margin of segment. Gravid segments not seen.

**Progrillotia dasyatidis** sp. n. Figs. 11–19


**Additional material examined:** 13 specimens from *Dasyatis pastinaca*, Arcachon, France (44°40′N, 1°11′W), coll. L. Euzet, 17.vi.1953, MNHN 62HG, CIX, slide 183.

**Etymology:** The new species is named after the genus of host, *Dasyatis*, in which members of the genus occur.

**Description** (from type series). Gravid specimen (holotype) 2.57 mm long, composed of 4 segments (Fig. 15). Scolex acraspedote 0.61–0.83 (0.73, n = 10) mm long, maximum width in pars vaginalis 0.13–0.20 (0.16, n = 10) mm; pars bothridialis 0.15–0.22 (0.20, n = 10) mm long; two elongate sub-cordiform bothridia without distinct margins or a distinct posterior indentation, width of bothridium 0.11 mm (single measurement) (Fig. 16). Pars vaginalis 0.28–0.46 (0.37, n = 10) mm long; tentacular sheaths slightly sinuous. Bulbs elongate, 0.30–0.38 (0.33, n = 10) mm long. 0.04–0.07 (0.05, n = 10) mm wide; length / width ratio 4.75–8.80 (6.47, n = 10) (Fig. 19); pars proliferans scolecis 0.03–0.06 (0.04, n = 10) mm long; prominent prebulbar organ at junction of sheath with bulb (Fig. 19); retractor muscle originates at base of bulb, surrounded within bulb by numerous gland cells; bulbs project into pars proliferans scolecis.

Maximum length of everted tentacles 0.33 mm; tentacles without basal swelling, 21–31 (25, n = 10) in diameter at base, 15–23 (20, n = 10) in metabalgal region. Armature heteroacanthous, atypical; hooks solid. Basal armature consists of two rows of uncinate hooks with sharply recurved blades and elongate bases (Figs. 11, 12), arranged in ascending rows beginning on internal surface; initial hooks in rows 8.6–14.0 (11.0, n = 10) long, base 7.0–11.7 (9.4, n = 10), diminishing in size gradually along row towards external surface (Fig. 12). Metabalgal armature composed of half rows of 6 hooks arranged in ascending half circles (Fig. 12); prominent space between hooks 1(1’) on internal surface of tentacle (Fig. 11). Hooks 1(1’) large, uncinate, with long base, 13.3–18.7 (16.0, n = 10) long, base 13.0–17.2 (15.5, n = 10) (Fig. 14). Hooks 2(2’) uncinate, as long as hooks 1(1’) but with shorter base, 11.7–19.5 (16.0, n = 10) long, base 6.2–11.7 (9.4, n = 10). Hooks 3(3’) erect, falcate, blade long, base short, 15.6–19.5 (17.6, n = 10) long, base 5.5–8.6 (6.8, n = 10). Hooks 4(4’) falcate, shorter than 3(3’), with narrower base, 10.9–14.0 (12.7, n = 10) long, base 4.7–6.2 (5.7, n = 10). Hooks 5(5’) similar to previous hooks, 7.8–13.3 (11.2, n = 10) long, base 3.1–5.5 (4.6, n = 10). Hooks 6(6’) small, erect, 4.7–7.0 (5.6, n = 10) long, base 3.1–4.7 (3.7, n = 5). Single row of intercalary hooks between each principal row (Fig. 13); intercalary hooks 2.3–5.5 (3.4, n = 10) long, base 0.8–2.3 (1.9, n = 5). No intercalary rows in basal region; initial intercalary rows appear between principal rows 1 and 2, composed of 2–3 hooks (Figs. 12, 13); remaining intercalary rows composed of 5–7 hooks arranged in arc, beginning at level of hooks 3(3’), extending beyond principal row to middle of external surface (Fig. 13). No additional hooks on external surface of tentacle apart from intercalary hooks. In basal region of external surface, distal hooks of each intercalary row enlarged, uncinate, with broad base and short broad blade (Fig. 14).

Segments acraspedote; mature specimens with 2–4 segments; single gravid specimen with 4 segments. Mature segments 0.38–0.98 (0.62, n = 10) mm long, maximum width 0.10–0.21 (0.16, n = 10) mm (Fig. 17). Genital pores alternate irregularly, in shallow depression of segment margin, 0.16–0.32 (0.23, n = 5) mm from anterior extremity. Testes preovarian, arranged in two parallel columns in segment (Fig. 17); total number of testes 16–23 (18, n = 5), arranged as 10–11 antiporal, 8–12 poral, with 5–8 anterior to cirrus sac, 3–4 posterior to cirrus sac; testis dimensions 45–60 (55, n = 10) by

---
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Figs. 11–14. Tentacular armature of *Progrillotia dasyatidis* sp. n. from *Dasyatis tortonesei*. Fig. 11. Basal and metabasal tentacular armature, internal surface of tentacle. Fig. 12. Basal and metabasal armature, antibothridial surface of tentacle. Fig. 13. Basal and metabasal tentacular armature, external surface. Fig. 14. Profiles of hooks 1(1') to 6(6') from the principal rows and intercalary row near the base of the tentacle. Intercalary hooks are shown in black. Hooks of principal rows are numbered 1–6 on the antibothridial surface and 1'–6' on the bothridial surface. Scale bars = 0.01 mm; for intercalary hooks = 0.001 mm.
Figs. 15–19. Segments of *Progrillotia dasyatidis* sp. n. from *Dasyatis tortonesei*. **Fig. 15.** Entire cestode. **Fig. 16.** Scolex. **Fig. 17.** Pre-mature segment. **Fig. 18.** Gravid segment. **Fig. 19.** Bulb showing prebulbar organ (P) and gland cells (G) within bulb attached to retractor muscle (R). **Figs. 20, 21.** *Progrillotia louiseuzeti* Dollfus, 1969, drawn from holotype. **Fig. 20.** Hooks of principal row and intercalary rows showing 6 hooks in principal row and intercalary rows composed of 4 and 2 spiniform hooks, respectively. **Fig. 21.** Junction of sheath (S) with bulb showing prebulbar organ (P). Scale bars: Figs. 15–18 = 0.1 mm; Figs. 19–21 = 0.01 mm.
35–55 (45, n = 10). Ovary in posterior part of segment, 4-lobed, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, 100 long, 90 wide. Mehlis’ gland immediately posterior to ovarian isthmus, 30 by 20. Vitelline follicles 20–29 (21, n = 10) in diameter, cortical, scattered around margin of segment. Terminal genitalia not visible in most specimens; cirrus everted in one segment, unarmed. Uterus simple, tubular, extending in midline almost to anterior extremity of segment. Gravid segment 0.92 by 0.32 mm, genital pore 0.55 from anterior extremity; gravid uterus saccate, filled with eggs (Fig. 18). Eggs spherical, 10–14 (12, n = 10) in diameter.

Principal measurements of specimens from *D. pastinacea*. Length of mature specimens 1.09–1.60 (1.36, n = 7) mm; number of segments 2–3 (2.9, n = 7); length of gravid specimens 1.42 and 2.01 mm; numbers of segments 2 and 3. Scolence length 0.62–0.72 (0.66, n = 10) mm; scolence width 0.12–0.16 (0.14, n = 10) mm; pars bothridialis 0.15–0.17 (0.16, n = 10) mm; pars vaginalis 0.30–0.41 (0.37, n = 10) mm; bulbs 0.25–0.31 (0.27, n = 10) mm long, 0.04–0.06 (0.05, n = 10) mm wide; length : width 4.57–6.80 (5.21, n = 10). Mature segments 0.33–0.52 (0.43, n = 5) mm long by 0.14–0.20 (0.16, n = 5) mm wide; genital pore 0.16–0.23 (0.19, n = 5) from anterior end of segment; number of testes 13–18 (15, n = 5), 7–9 (8, n = 5) antiporal, 6–9 (7, n = 5) poral, with 4–6 (5, n = 5) anterior to cirrus sac, 2–3 (2, n = 5) posterior to cirrus sac. Gravid segments 0.70 and 0.75 mm long, 0.24 and 0.27 mm wide; genital pore 0.34 and 0.37 mm from anterior end of segment.

**Progrillotia louiseuzeti** Dollfus, 1969

*Material studied:* Holotype from spiral valve of *Dasyatis violacea* (Bonaparte, 1832), Gulf of Lion, Mediterranean, Sète, France (42°25’N, 3°43’E), coll. L. Euzet, 5.x.1953, MNHN, Bd 16, slide 33.

Additional morphological features not included in original description: armature heteroacanthous, atypical; hooks solid. Principal rows with 6 hooks; intercalary hooks arranged in 2 rows with 4 hooks in anterior row and 2 hooks in posterior row (Fig. 20); external surface of tentacles not visible. Bulbs with prominent prebulbar organ at junction of sheath with bulb (Fig. 21); no distinct gland cells present within bulb, but deeply staining mass of possible gland cells visible adjacent to retractor muscle.

Based on the new species, the redescription of *P. pastinacea* and the re-examination of the type specimen of *P. louiseuzeti*, the definition of *Progrillotia* given by Campbell and Beveridge (1994) is emended:

**Progrillotia** Dollfus, 1946

Small cestodes; scolex acraspedote; 2 elongate bothridia without prominent margins or posterior indentation. Tentacle without basal swelling or distinctive basal armature; hooks solid. Metabasal armature consists of ascending half rows of 6 hooks commencing on internal surface of tentacle; distinct space between hooks 1(1’) on internal surface. One or two intercalary rows of hooks present. Prebulbar organ present. Retractor muscle originates at base of bulb. Gland cells attached to retractor muscle within bulb. Segments acraspedote, genital pores marginal, alternate irregularly. Testes pre-ovarian arranged in two longitudinal columns; ovary at posterior extremity of segment. Cirrus sac with adnate external seminal vesicle; vagina opens independently to genital atrium. Vitelline follicles circumcortical. Uterus simple, median, tubular; uterine pore absent. Parasites of *Dasyatis* spp.

**Type species:** *Progrillotia pastinacea* Dollfus, 1946.

**Other species:** *Progrillotia louiseuzeti* Dollfus, 1969; *Progrillotia dasytidis* sp. n.

**Species provisionally excluded:** *P. dollfusi* Carvajal et Rego, 1983 (see below).

**Phylogenetic relationships**

Analysis of the modified character matrix of Beveridge et al. (1999) using PAUP 3.1.1 resulted in 3900 equally parsimonious trees with a length of 165, a consistency index of 0.285 and a rescaled consistency index of 0.191. The consensus tree was very similar to that of Beveridge et al. (1999) with the exception that *Progrillotia* was clearly aligned with the genera of the Eutetrarhynchidae (Fig. 23). The relationships of the genera *Rhinoptericola*, *Shirleyrhynchus*, *Cetorhinicola*, *Mixodigma* and *Halysiorhynchus*, identified in the analysis of Beveridge et al. (1999) as being eutetrarhynchid-like but possessing four rather than two bothridia, were less well-resolved in the current analysis, but they remained unequivocally aligned with the Eutetrarhynchidae.

**DISCUSSION**

The new species described above belongs to the genus *Progrillotia* as it possesses an acraspedote scolex with two bothridia, tentacles with an atypical heteroacanthous armature and testes arranged in two longitudinal columns anterior to the ovary. A complete description of the species cannot be given because despite the relatively extensive series of well-preserved specimens available, none possessed fully developed terminal genitalia. Many of the terminal segments were pre-mature and few gravid specimens were available. In these specimens, however, the penultimate segment was immature and the terminal genitalia were not visible. In spite of these deficiencies, the material described represents a new species of *Progrillotia* and provides significant additional information on the features of the genus. The species described above differs from both *P. pastinacea* and *P. louiseuzeti* in having the intercalary hooks arranged in a single row of 5–7 hooks rather than two rows of approximately four hooks in the anterior row and two hooks in the posterior row (as occurs in both *P. pastinacea* and *P. louiseuzeti*). In addition, the new species differs in a number of
measurements from the known species (Table 1), particularly in the length of the scolex and the lengths of the bulbs, which are shorter than in the congeners. Furthermore, *P. dasyatidis* has fewer testes per segment than in the congeners. Consequently, there is little doubt that the material described from *D. tortonesei* and *D. pastinaca* represents a new species.

The description of the new species and the redescription or re-examination of the type specimens of the known species also provide additional morphological characters for the genus. Most importantly, the hooks of all three species examined are solid rather than hollow. This feature has not been reported previously and is significant as the feature is common in the homeoacanth trypanorhynch taxa as well as in the eutetrarhynchids (Beveridge et al. 1999). In addition, a prebulbar organ is present in *P. dasyatidis* and there are gland cells attached to the retractor muscle within the bulb. With respect to the prebulbar organ, Dollfus (1946, p. 208) noted that its presence in *P. pastinacae* could not be confirmed but indicated in a footnote that a “refringent” body was present at the anterior end of the bulb. Re-examination of the types as well as the availability of new material has confirmed that this is indeed a prebulbar organ. Dollfus (1969) did not describe a prebulbar organ in *P. louiseuzeti*, but the structure is evident in the holotype (Fig. 21). Similarly, although not described, gland cells are present within the bulb of *P. pastinacae* (Fig. 9), as is the case with *P. dasyatidis*. In the holotype of *P. louiseuzeti*, the scolex is extremely flattened and the contents of bulbs are difficult to discern, but there is a sheet of deeply staining material within the bulb which may have been gland cells. The prebulbar organ and the gland cells within the bulb are important characters defining the eutetrarhynchid clades (clades 3–5) in the study of Beveridge et al. (1999) and the finding of these characters in *Progrillotia is likely to affect the affiliations of the species in cladistic analyses.

The external surface of the metabasal region of the tentacle of *P. dasyatidis* is characterised by a single row of intercalary hooks extending in an arc to the midline of the tentacle (Fig. 13). There are no supernumerary hooks. In *P. louiseuzeti*, Dollfus (1969, fig. 83) noted that the opposite intercalary rows join in the midline of the tentacle and that there was no separate longitudinal band of hooks (Dollfus, 1969, p. 538). These observations were confirmed in a re-examination of the holotype. In the type species, *P. pastinacae*, Dollfus (1946, p. 209) noted that on the external surface of the tentacle there were several tiny, well-spaced hooks which were difficult to observe, an observation confirmed by re-examination of the types. Neither Dollfus’ description and figures (Dollfus 1946), nor re-examination of the types reveals any band of hooks on the external surface of the tentacle apart from hooks which might belong to the principal rows. However, the new material of *P. pastinacae* described above resolves these uncertainties.
it is evident that each hooklet occurs in an intercalary row and that there are no hooklets present in addition to the intercalary rows. It is merely the enlargement of the terminal intercalary hooklets in each row and the confluence of the rows that give the appearance of a chainette.

Chainettes restricted to the basal and/or metabasal region of the tentacle are known in the genera *Paroncomegas* Campbell, Marques et Ivanov, 1999 (see description of Campbell et al. 1999), *Dasyrynchus talismani* Dollfus, 1935 (see descriptions by Dollfus 1942, Beveridge and Campbell 1993), *Mixodigma leptopetalum* Dailey et Vogelbein, 1982 (see description by Dailey and Vogelbein 1982) and in *Pterobothrioides petterae* Campbell et Beveridge, 1997 (see description by Campbell and Beveridge 1997), such that their occurrence in *Progrillotia* would not be a novel phenomenon. However, in *Progrillotia*, all hooks present on the external surface of the basal region of the tentacle are components of the intercalary rows and cannot be considered to constitute a basal chainette.

The cladistic analysis suggests that *Progrillotia* is allied to the eutetrarhynchids. In the classifications of Dollfus (1942) and Campbell and Beveridge (1994), the eutetrarhynchids were considered to have typical heteroacanthous armatures and two bothridia. The cladistic analysis of Beveridge et al. (1999) (Fig. 23) included in the same clade as the traditional eutetrarhynchid genera (*Eutetrarhynchus* Pintner, 1913, *Dollfusiella* Campbell et Beveridge, 1994, *Mecistobothrium* Heinz et Dailey, 1974, *Oncomegas* Dollfus, 1929, *Parachristianella* Dollfus, 1946, *Prochristianella* Dollfus, 1946, *Pseudochristianella* Dollfus, 1949, *Trigonolobium* Dollfus, 1929, *Trimacracanthus* Beveridge et Campbell, 1987) others with four rather than two bothridia (*Shirleyrynchus* Beveridge et Campbell, 1988 and *Cetorhinicola* Beveridge et Campbell, 1988) as well as genera with four bothridia and chainettes such as *Halysiorhynchus* Pintner, 1913 and *Mixodigma* Dailey et Vogelbein, 1982. It also included *Rhinoptericola* Carvajal et Campbell, 1975, a genus of atypical heteroacanth with four bothridia. The addition of *Progrillotia* to the same clade provides the final combination of characters, that is an atypical heteroacanthous armature combined with two bothridia. Thus, the “eutetrarhynchid” clade now consists of cestodes with either two or four bothridia, typical heteroacanthous armatures or atypical heteroacanthous armatures or chainettes, supporting the hypothesis (Beveridge et al. 1999) that armature types within the order are homoplasious.

*Prochristianella dollfusi* was described initially by Carvajal and Rego (1983) and was redescribed in detail by Pereira (1998). Both descriptions note only four hooks in the principal row, a prominent band of hooks on the external surface of the base of the tentacle, and the description of Pereira (1998) notes that the hooks are hollow and that the retractor muscle originates in the anterior part of the bulb. None of these characters is seen in the species of *Progrillotia* described above and for these reasons, *P. dollfusi* is provisionally excluded from the genus. It closely resembles species of *Grillotia* such as *G. smarisgora* (Wagener, 1854) (see description of Dollfus 1946) and *G. australis* Beveridge et Campbell, 2001 (see description by Beveridge and Campbell.
Table 1. Comparison of morphological features of species of *Progrillotia*. Ranges are followed by means in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>P. pastinacae</em></th>
<th><em>P. louiseuzeti</em></th>
<th><em>P. dasyatidis sp. n.</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scolex length (mm)</td>
<td>0.96–1.06 (1.01)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.61–0.83 (0.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars bothridialis (mm)</td>
<td>0.18–0.23 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15–0.22 (0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pars vaginalis (mm)</td>
<td>0.40–0.51 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.28–0.46 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulb length (mm)</td>
<td>0.44–0.65 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.30–0.38 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulb ratio</td>
<td>6.7–10.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.8–8.8 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gland cells in bulb</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prebulbar organ</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooks solid</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of hooks in rows</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 1(1') (µm)</td>
<td>21–27 (25)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13–19 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 2(2') (µm)</td>
<td>21–27 (25)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12–20 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 3(3') (µm)</td>
<td>23–29 (27)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16–20 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 4(4') (µm)</td>
<td>20–25 (22)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11–14 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 5(5') (µm)</td>
<td>13–18 (16)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8–13 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hook 6(6') (µm)</td>
<td>10–13 (11)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5–7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercalary hooks</td>
<td>2 rows, 6</td>
<td>2 rows, 4–12</td>
<td>1 row, 5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of testes</td>
<td>20–28 (24)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16–23 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host</td>
<td><em>Dasyatis pastinaca</em></td>
<td><em>Dasyatis violacea</em></td>
<td><em>Dasyatis tortonesei</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numbers of specimens of *Progrillotia pastinacae* Dollfus, 1946 and *P. dasyatidis* sp. n. collected from individuals of *Dasyatis pastinaca* at Arcachon, France.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex of host</th>
<th>Total length of host (cm)</th>
<th>No. of specimens of <em>P. pastinacae</em></th>
<th>No. of specimens of <em>P. dasyatidis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neifar et al. (2000) considered the monocotylid monogeneans of *D. tortonesei* to be distinct from those of *D. pastinaca*, with the oioxenic parasites supporting the taxonomic differences observed in the hosts. They used parasitological evidence to support the taxonomic distinction of *D. tortonesei*, a distinction currently in dispute (Seret and McEachran 1986, Compagno 1999). Current collections of species of *Progrillotia* are not entirely consistent with this hypothesis, as *P. dasyatidis* appears to occur both in *D. pastinaca* and *P. tortonesei*, and at Arcachon, both species may be found in the same individual ray (Table 2). The conclusions must however be tempered with the small number of collections of cestodes made to date, current deficiencies in knowledge of the anatomy of species of *Progrillotia*, and uncertainties in the true identity of hosts identified as *D. pastinaca*, prior to the recognition of the existence of the species *D. tortonesei*. Consequently, the identity of specimens collected from the western coast of France in the 1950s and considered to be *D. pastinaca* (see material examined for *P. dasyatidis*) must remain in doubt until more collections are made as both *D. pastinaca* and *D. tortonesei* occur in this region.
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