Fine structure of the female reproductive ducts of Cyathocephalus truncatus (Cestoda: Spathebothriidea), from salmonid fish
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Abstract. The fine structure of the ovary, ovicapt, oviduct, fertilisation canal, vitelline ducts, vitelline reservoir, ovovitelline duct, ootype and Mehlis’ gland, and proximal, middle and distal parts of the uterus of the spartebothriidean cestode, Cyathocephalus truncatus (Pallas, 1781), from salmoniform fish, has been studied for the first time by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Emphasis was given to characteristics which might shed light on the unclarified phylogenetic position of spartebothriids, belonging among the most basal tapeworms (Eucestoda). New for cestodes is the finding of a multinucleate cell that plugs the ovicapt lumen. The morphology of the proximal part of the oviduct resembles that of the pseudophyllidean tapeworm Diplocephaloides latum. After fertilisation in the fertilisation canal, vitellocytes of C. truncatus become associated with fertilised oocytes in the ovovitelline duct. Only one type of Mehlis’ gland secretory cell is present. The eggs with electron-dense eggshells containing large pores first appear in the proximal part of the uterus. The middle portion of the uterus has well-developed uterine glands. The distal portion of the uterus has apical microtriches. Ultrastructural data on the female genital system of C. truncatus are compared and discussed with those for other cestodes. However, on the basis of available ultrastructural data it is not possible to conclude whether the Spathebothriidea are phylogenetically closer to the Caryophyllidea or to the Pseudophyllidea.

The Spathebothriidea is a small group of unique tapeworms (Eucestoda) possessing a polypleuroid body, i.e., a body composed of serial repetition of sets of reproductive organs which are not organized in proglottids (Mackiewicz 2003). They parasitize primarily primitive teleosts (sturgeons, salmonids and marine fish (pleuronectids, soleids) ( Gibson 1994). They have a scolex different from that of other cestodes and, in the case of Cyathocephalus truncatus (Pallas, 1781), there is a tendency for a monoxenous life cycle in the amphipod intermediate host (Protasova and Roytman 1995, Okaka 2000, Mackiewicz 2003). Phylogenetic relationships of spartebothriideans to other cestodes remain unclear. They have been classified either as a separate order presumably related to the monozoic (monopleuroid) Caryophyllidea (Hoberg et al. 1997, 2001, Mariaux 1998), or as a family of pseudophyllidean cestodes that have a well-developed strobila composed of proglottids (Dubinina 1987, Protasova and Roytman 1995). Recent molecular analyses ( Olson and Caira 1999, Kodedová et al. 2000) have placed spartebothriideans basal to all “true” cestodes (Eucestoda); however, subsequent analyses have not confirmed this conclusion ( Olson et al. 2001).

Undoubtedly, the position of the Spathebothriidea and their relationships to other basal cestodes, such as monozoic caryophyllideans and polyzoic pseudophyllideans and haplobothriids, should be clarified to better understand the evolution of cestode life cycles and the origin of strobilisation (Beveridge 2001, Mackiewicz 2003). Considering the necessity of searching for new morphological, ultrastructural and life-cycle criteria suitable for the assessment of relationships of the basal groups ( Mariaux 1996, Hoberg et al. 1997, 2001, Justine 1998, Beveridge 2001, Olson et al. 2001), information about ultrastructure of the reproductive systems of the different cestode groups, is potentially important for phylogenetic analyses ( Justine 1998, Świderski and Xylander 2000, Świderski et al. 2004, Bruňanská et al. 2003a, b).

In a previous paper (Poddubnaya et al. 2005), ultrastructural observations were presented on the male reproductive system, vagina and seminal receptacle of adult Cyathocephalus truncatus from salmonid fish. In the present study: (i) remaining parts of the female genital system of C. truncatus are described; (ii) the uterine glands are compared with those of progenetic forms of another spartebothriidean, Diplocotyle olrikii Krabbe, 1874, from the amphipod Gammarus oceanicus (Davydov et al. 1997); and (iii) the data are placed within a phylogenetic context, with emphasis on a comparison with related cestode groups, especially the caryophyllideans and pseudophyllideans.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult *Cyathocephalus truncatus* were recovered from the pyloric caeca of grayling (*Thymallus arcticus baicalensis* Dybowski) from Lake Baikal (Russia). The worms were processed as described in a previous paper (Poddubnaya et al. 2005). Briefly, the tapeworms were fixed with 3% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 6 h at 4°C, postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 1 h at 4°C, dehydrated in acetone and embedded in Araldite or Epon. Semithin sections were cut on a Reichert ultramicrotome, stained with methylene blue and examined under a light microscope for identification of different parts of the female genital systems. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a JEOL JEM-100 C transmission electron microscope operating at 80 kV.

RESULTS

The female reproductive system of *Cyathocephalus truncatus* consists of the ovary, uterus, vitelline follicles, vagina with seminal receptacle and associated ducts (Fig. 1).

Ovary

The ovary is bilobed and located centrally. It is surrounded by a thin wall of a syncytial epithelium that has numerous long, narrow processes between the closely packed germ cells (Figs. 3, 7). As a rule, the oocytes have a large nucleus and little cytoplasm in its first stage of development and are found in a peripheral position of the ovary. Oocytes of successive stages of development fill most of the inner part of the ovary (Figs. 2, 7). They may be ovoid or irregular in shape, but all have a large nucleus with a prominent nucleolus and a cytoplasmic volume larger than that of earlier oocyte stages. Their cytoplasm contains abundant free ribosomes and mitochondria, as well as rough endoplasmic reticulum (Figs. 2, 7). Large mature oocytes are found centrally in the ovary. They are spherical and are characterised by a large nucleus and nucleolus and cytoplasm with numerous mitochondria, free ribosomes, rows of endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi complexes and, in particular, a few spherical electron-dense cortical granules (Figs. 4, 5, 7).

Ovicapt

The ovicapt or oocapt is extended from the middle of the posterior edge of the ovarian isthmus. As a small duct-like structure it extends from the ovary and is filled by a syncytium (Figs. 1, 5–7) that has five or more nuclei, abundant mitochondria, ribosomes, and less common rows of rough endoplasmic reticulum. A mature oocyte is often embedded in the cytoplasm of this syncytium (Figs. 5, 7).

Oviduct

The structure of the oviduct varies considerably along its length. Its proximal portion starts as a long, narrow tube (Figs. 7, 8) lined by a thin layer of epithelial wall containing elongate nuclei with a nucleolus (Fig. 9). The cytoplasm contains numerous vesicles of different sizes and mitochondria. The surface is covered with long, plicate microvilli that are flexible and bend to the luminal surface (Figs. 9, 10). Mature oo-
cytes are closely packed in the lumen of the oviduct (Fig. 8). The nucleate epithelial lining of the distal portion of the oviduct is thicker and strengthened by adjacent circular muscles. Long, highly plicate brush lamellae cover the inner surface (Figs. 11–13). The luminal and contraluminal syncytial surfaces are deeply folded into the epithelium of the oviduct. Beneath the epithelial wall is a thin fibrillar layer of basal lamina. The nuclei become prominent and then are eliminated into the duct lumen (Figs. 11–13). The layers of circular muscles increase in number in the terminal portion of the oviduct.

**Fertilisation canal**

The short, muscular duct, originating from seminal receptacle (Fig. 14), is connected with fertilisation canal. The strength of the syncytial canal wall is considerably increased by a few, large, elongate nuclei (Fig. 15). Rarely, there may be small, short lamellae on the luminal surface of the canal (Fig. 14). Within the canal, spermatozoa may coil around oocytes and, in some cases, axonemes of spermatozoa are observed within oocytes (Fig. 16).

**Vitelline ducts**

Small vitelline ducts originating from vitelline follicles have a thin epithelium covered with apical lamellae and occasional cilia. These small ducts interconnect the vitellaria and join to form larger ducts (Fig. 1). The distal cytoplasmic lining of these large ducts has large nuclei, numerous mitochondria and is covered with lamellae and cilia (Figs. 17, 18). Each cilium has a basal body in the apical portion of epithelial wall (Fig. 18). In cross-sections, cilia have nine regularly arranged peripheral axonemal doublets; the central axonemal core may be present or absent. No rootlets were observed. The contraluminal membrane is folded and rests on a thin basement layer attached to the circular muscles (Fig. 17).

**Vitelline reservoir**

The large lateral vitelline ducts join near the ovary to form a voluminous vitelline reservoir (Fig. 1). The lining of this reservoir is syncytial and contains a few small nuclei that are surrounded by cytoplasm with numerous ribosomes and mitochondria (Fig. 19). Unlike the vitelline ducts, cilia are absent and the luminal surface only bears lamellae (Figs. 19, 20). Muscle layers are localised underneath the contraluminal surface (Fig. 20). Within the vitelline ducts and vitelline reservoir, there are numerous fragments of vitelline cells and free globules (Figs. 17, 20). The vitelline reservoir is connected to the oviduct at the distal end of the fertilisation canal that forms the large ovovitelline duct.

**Fig. 7.** Diagrammatic representation of the ovicapt of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. Abbreviations: CG – cortical granules; CS – syncytial cytoplasm; EP – epithelial process; ER – endoplasmic reticulum; L – lamellae; M – mitochondria; MO – mature oocyte; N – nucleus; OC – ovicapt; OO – oocyte; POD – proximal portion of the oviduct; SE – syncytial epithelium; SM – syncytium. Scale bar = 4 µm.

**Figs. 8–13.** Ultrastructure of the different portions of the oviduct of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. **Fig. 8.** Long narrow tube of the proximal portion of the oviduct with mature oocyte within lumen. **Fig. 9.** Nucleus of proximal oviduct epithelium. **Fig. 10.** Cytoplasm of syncytial epithelial wall of proximal oviduct. **Fig. 11.** Distal portion of the oviduct and eliminated nuclei within lumen. **Fig. 12.** Epithelial wall near proximal oviduct tube with nuclei in cytoplasm. **Fig. 13.** Distal part of the oviduct with prominent nuclei. Abbreviations: L – lamellae; M – mitochondria; ML – muscle layers; MO – mature oocyte; N – nucleus; SE – syncytial epithelium; V – vesicles. Scale bars: Figs. 8, 13 = 2 µm; Figs. 9, 10, 12 = 1 µm; Fig. 11 = 3 µm.
Figs. 14–20. Fine structure of the fertilisation canal, vitelline ducts and vitelline reservoir of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. Fig. 14. Junction of seminal receptacle with fertilisation canal. Fig. 15. Large nucleus within syncytial wall of the fertilisation canal. Fig. 16. Mature oocyte with sperm axonemes in cytoplasm and spermatozoa within the lumen of fertilisation canal. Fig. 17. Nuclear region of vitelline duct. Fig. 18. Cilium on the apical surface of vitelline duct. Fig. 19. Nuclear region of vitelline reservoir. Fig. 20. Syncytial wall and lamellae of vitelline reservoir. Abbreviations: C – cilium; FC – fertilisation canal; FS – folded surface; L – lamellae; M – mitochondria; ML – muscle layers; N – nucleus; OO – oocyte; SE – syncytial epithelium; SP – spermatozoa; VG – vitelline granules; VM – vitelline material. Scale bars: Figs. 14, 17–20 = 1 µm; Fig. 15 = 2 µm; Fig. 16 = 0.5 µm.
Figs. 21–24. Ultrastructure of the ovovitelline duct of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. **Fig. 21.** Fertilized oocyte, vitelline material and free shell globules within the lumen of ovovitelline duct. **Fig. 22.** The external boundary of vitelline material with filiform extensions. **Fig. 23.** Epithelial wall of ovovitelline duct with apical lamellae and sarcoplasma lamellar processes under epithelium. **Fig. 24.** Prominent lipid droplet of elongate form in junction of oocyte with vitelline cytoplasm. Abbreviations: A – association of oocyte and vitelline cytoplasm; FE – filiform extensions; L – lamella; LD – lipid droplet; MO – mature oocyte; SE – syncytial epithelium; SL – sarcoplasma lamellar processes; SP – spermatozoa; VG – vitelline globules; VM – vitelline material. Scale bars: Fig. 21 = 3 µm; Figs. 22–24 = 0.5 µm.

and prominent, sometimes elongate lipid droplets (Fig. 24). It is in the ovovitelline duct where the first vitellocytes become associated with fertilized oocytes (Figs. 21, 24).

**Ootype and Mehlis’ gland**

The ootype consists of a proximal, non-glandular part and a distal portion with Mehlis’ gland. It is lined by a folded nucleate syncytium which rests on a layer of fibrous basal lamina that is surrounded by layers of muscular fibres (Figs. 25, 27). The first portion of the proximal part of the wall is uniciliated and the apical plasma membrane forms blunt finger-like folds (Fig. 25). The syncytial cytoplasm contains large nuclei, numerous mitochondria and small vesicles (Fig. 25). The folds of contraluminal surface reach into the syncytial epithelial wall (Fig. 25). Closer to the Mehlis’ gland, the luminal surface of the proximal region of the ootype is covered by cilia and lamellae that occur infrequently (Fig. 27).

The luminal surface of the distal portion of the ootype is folded and these folds bear long lamellae (Fig. 28). Within the Mehlis’ gland complex, glandular cells are arranged at various distances from the ootype wall (Fig. 26). All these unicellular gland cells appear to be of a single type that occurs separated or in groups of up to three cells. Throughout the cytoplasm of these cells, there is an extensive endoplasmic reticulum and abundant granular material (Fig. 29). Mature electron-dense spherical granules are approximately 0.3 µm in diameter.
Figs. 25–29. Ultrastructure of the ootype with Mehlis’ gland of *Cyathocepalus truncatus*. Fig. 25. Epithelial wall of the proximal portion of the ootype. Fig. 26. Section of the distal ootype lumen with variety of structures. Fig. 27. Ootype epithelial wall with apical cilia. Fig. 28. Distal portion of the ootype with terminal part of the Mehlis’ gland duct. Fig. 29. Cytoplasm of Mehlis’ gland with secretory granules. Abbreviations: BL – basal layer; C – cilia; ER – endoplasmic reticulum; F – folds of apical epithelial cytoplasm; FS – folded surface; L – lamellae; M – mitochondria; MC – Mehlis’ gland cell; ML – muscle layers; PM – peripheral microtubules; SG – secretory granules; V – vesicles; VM – vitelline material. Scale bars: Figs. 25, 27–29 = 1 µm; Fig. 26 = 2 µm.
Figs. 30–34. Proximal portion of the uterus of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. Fig. 30. Epithelial wall with nucleus. Fig. 31. The initial part of the uterus just outside the ootype with separate eggshell globules mixed among fibrous substance. Fig. 32. The egg with organized dark eggshell within the lumen of the uterus proximal portion. Figs. 33, 34. Vitelline material enters into the egg through large pore of eggshell. Abbreviations: ES – eggshell; FM – fibrous material; L – lamellae; N – nucleus; P – pore; SE – syncytial epithelium; UE – uterus epithelium; UL – uterus lumen; VG – vitelline globules; VM – vitelline material. Scale bars: Fig. 30 = 1 µm; Figs. 31, 33, 34 = 2 µm; Fig. 32 = 3 µm.

(Figs. 28, 29). A ring of peripheral microtubules is evident at the terminal parts of the ducts where they open into the ootype (Fig. 28). Within the distal ootype lumen are what appear to be secretory granules of the Mehlis’ gland as well as cytoplasmic inclusions of vitelline cytons (Fig. 26). The ootype merges into the major part of the uterus proper that consists of proximal, middle and distal portions.
Proximal portion of the uterus

The proximal uterus is non-glandular and has an epithelial wall that merges with that of the ootype. The epithelium is syncytial; it is thin except in perinuclear regions (Fig. 30). The syncytial cytoplasm is filled with free ribosomes, mitochondria and small electron-lucent vesicles. Thin lamellae project into the lumen (Fig. 30). The lumen of the proximal portion of the uterus is filled with many separate vitelline shell globules and a fibrous substance (Fig. 31). The first eggs with an elaborated eggshell and containing oocytes and vitellocytes appear in this part of the uterus (Fig. 32). All eggs have a dark, developed eggshell (Fig. 32) and large pores on the eggshell surface (Figs. 33, 34).

Middle portion of the uterus

The middle part of the uterine duct is surrounded by numerous glandular perikarya that make up the uterine glands (Fig. 35). The perinuclear cytoplasm of these glandular cytons contains an extensive endoplasmic reticulum with enlarged cisternae, Golgi complexes, and a large number of secretory granules in various stages of development (Figs. 35, 36). Granules are spherical and electron-dense, measuring about 0.60 × 0.45 µm. Cytoplasmic bridges fuse glandular cells with the uterine epithelium. The cytoplasm of the syncytial epithelium contains large nuclei as well as the same organelles found in gland cells (Fig. 35). Sinuous lamellae cover the luminal surface (Figs. 35, 38). Discharge of granules

Figs. 35–38. Middle portion of the uterus of Cyathocephalus truncatus. Fig. 35. Glandular cells around epithelial wall of the middle portion of the uterus. Fig. 36. Cytoplasm of glandular cell with formed secretory granules. Fig. 37. Eggshell in close opposition to the uterine wall. Fig. 38. Apocrine secretion of secretory granules of uterine glands. Abbreviations: ER – endoplasmic reticulum; ES – eggshell; GC – Golgi complex; L – lamellae; N – nucleus; SG – secretory granules; UE – uterus epithelium; UL – uterus lumen. Scale bars: Fig. 35 = 2 µm; Figs. 36, 38 = 0.5 µm; Fig. 37 = 1 µm.
takess place by merocrine and apocrine secretion (Fig. 38). Eggs are in close contact with the uterine glandular wall (Fig. 37).

**Distal portion of the uterus**

The structure of the distal uterus wall resembles that of the tegument, namely, the epithelium contains microtriches and electron-dense bodies (Figs. 39, 42). In addition, there are numerous small vesicles in the epithelial cytoplasm (Figs. 39, 42). In the transition region between the middle and distal uterus the epithelium forms folds, loses the apical lamellae and nuclei are eliminated into the uterus lumen (Figs. 40, 41). Microtriches have the basal part approximately 0.4 µm long and the distal one 0.7 µm in length. A thin basal lamina and two layers of muscles envelop the distal portion of uterus wall (Fig. 39). At the terminal end of the uterus (uterine pore), there is a muscular sphincter that consists of up to ten well-developed muscle layers (Fig. 43). Eggs that lie within the distal uterine lumen have an electron-dense eggshell that is surrounded by fibrous material of moderate electron density (Fig. 39).

**DISCUSSION**

Ultrastructural studies of the female reproductive system of cestodes of the order Spathébothriidea have not been extensive. The earlier studies on Diplocotyle olivikii by Davydov et al. (1997) focused primarily on the middle part of the uterus. The present study provides the first data on most of the female reproductive system of a second species, *Cyathocephalus truncatus*.

The female reproductive system of *C. truncatus* generally resembles that of the pseudophyllidean *Diphyllobothrium latum* (Diphyllobothriidae) (Poddubnaya 2002) but it also shares some ultrastructural features with monozoic cestodes (Caryophyllidea) (Davydov and Poddubnaya 1988, Davydov et al. 1994, Poddubnaya 2003b, Poddubnaya et al. 2003). There is, however, a conspicuous difference in the ultrastructure of the oviduct of *C. truncatus* from that observed in caryophyllidean and pseudophyllidean cestodes, in which three types have been described. In the first type, known only in the caryophyllidean *Caryophyllaeus laticeps*, a muscular sphincter helps regulate the passage of mature oocytes (Davydov et al. 1994). In the pseudophyllidean *D. latum*, there is a single cell within the oviduct lumen that has presumably the same regulatory function (Poddubnaya 2002). The third type is characterised by the absence of a sphincter or a filtering cell and has been described in the caryophyllidean *Archigetes sieboldi* and pseudophyllidean *Eubothrium rugosum* (Poddubnaya 2003a, b). Unlike *Caryophyllaeus* and *Diphyllobothrium*, all oocytes of *Archigetes* and *Eubothrium* appear to be at the same stage of maturity within the ovary and thus there appears to be little regulation or selection of oocytes by the oviduct.

In *C. truncatus*, on the other hand, where oocytes are in various stages of maturation, there is a fourth variation in oviduct structure: here the lumen of the oviduct is plugged with a syncytium. In this case, the mature oocyte appears embedded in the syncytium when it passes into the oviduct. How this syncytium regulates the passage of oocytes is not completely known. A similar structure of “plug cell” has been described by Tappenden et al. (1993) at the proximal end of the intragarmarial tube of the monogenean *Entobdella soleae* where there are many finger-like processes radiating into the ovary. Such processes do not appear to be present in the oviduct of *C. truncatus*. These authors suggest that a pressure differential between the ovary and the oviduct or possible amoeboid properties of the oocytes may allow the oocytes to pass through the “plug cell”. The singular occurrence of such “plug cell” type of oviduct in a spathebothrid cestode and a benedenine monogenean raises important questions of its evolutionary significance.

The oviduct of *C. truncatus* has two morphologically distinct regions: a proximal and a distal one. The proximal region morphologically resembles that of the pseudophyllidean *D. latum* (Poddubnaya 2002) and the intragarmarial tube of the monogenean *E. soleae* (Tappenden et al. 1993). In all cases the lumen of the duct is filled with mature oocytes that are generally arranged in a single row. Unlike the intragarmarial tube of benedenine monogeneans that may be the site for fertilisation, the proximal part of the oviduct in *C. truncatus* and *D. latum* transfer the oocytes to the fertilisation site.

The ultrastructure of the distal region of the oviduct is similar to the fine structure of the oviduct of caryophyllideans (Davydov et al. 1994, Poddubnaya 2003b) and pseudophyllideans (Korneva 2002, Poddubnaya 2002, 2003a). It has long brush lamellae that form a dense mass that serves to prevent sperm from entering the proximal part and ovary (Davydov et al. 1994, Poddubnaya 2002). This duct also has deeply folded luminal and contraluminal membranes and well-developed muscular layers. Xylander (1988) showed that the vitelloducts of the Gyrocotylida and Amphilinida have these same deeply folded structures, thus making it well-adapted to expand and accommodate all reproductive products. Within the epithelium of this distal portion of the oviduct of *C. truncatus*, one can find nuclei in central and apical positions before their final elimination into the lumen.

Fertilisation in tapeworms occurs in the oviduct or in the fertilisation canal proximal to the ootype (Świderski and Conn 1999). In *C. truncatus*, this process seems to take place in the fertilisation canal as demonstrated by the presence of mature oocytes and spermatozoa as well as sperm axonemes within oocytes. The flow of sperm to the fertilisation canal is controlled by a sphincter surrounding the connecting duct from the seminal receptacle.
The vitelline material in *C. truncatus* is derived from numerous vesicular vitellaria and stored in a vitelline reservoir that connects with the oviduct, now enlarged to become the oovitelline duct. In the oovitelline duct, vitellocytes start to attach to fertilized oocytes. Previous studies have shown that vitellocytes become associated with fertilized oocytes in the oviduct or fertilisation canal in protocotylophalideans (Bruňanská 1999, Świderski and Conn 1999, Korneva and Davydov 2001), or in the ootype in *D. latum* and cyclophyllideans (Yamane et al. 1983, Coi 1991, Świderski and Conn 1999, Świderski et al. 2004).

The initial phase of eggshell formation in *C. truncatus* takes place in the ootype with the thick shell being formed later at the beginning of the uterus. This part of the uterus is filled with many separate shell globules and mixed with a fibrous substance, probably a secretion of Mehlis’ gland. While it has long been acknowledged that Mehlis’ gland has a prominent role in eggshell formation, its actual role has yet to be fully understood.

Histochemical, cytochemical and fluorescence microscopy studies on secretions of Mehlis’ gland in the cyclophyllidean *Hymenolepis microstoma*, the liver fluke (*Fasciola hepatica*) and three species of *Schistosoma* (Moczoň et al. 1992, Schmidt 1996, Colhoun et al. 1998, Moczoň and Świderski 2000, 2002) have shown that the main components of their secretions are carbohydrates, but they have not been characterised in more detail. Colhoun et al. (1998; p. 566) assumed that Mehlis’ gland has a prominent role in eggshell formation, its actual role has yet to be fully understood.

The epithelial lining of the glandular portion in *C. laticeps* and *A. sieboldi* (Caryophyllidea) is anucleate, while it is nucleate in both spathobothriideans, *D. olrikii* and *C. truncatus* (Davydov and Poddubnaya 1988, Davydov et al. 1997, Poddubnaya et al. 2003, present study). The uterine glands of the Caryophyllidea are, therefore, similar in structure to tegumental ones because an anuclear surface region is connected to a subsurface, nucleate glandular one. The cellular pattern is also similar to the distal or “anterior” uterus of *Mesocestoides lineatus*, which has subsurface cytons supporting an anucleate thin surface layer (Conn 1987). The functional significance of these glands in spathobothriideans may be like that in cyclophyllideans, namely the production of a protective, lipoproteinaceous, fibrous coat on the egg surface, as described for *C. laticeps* (Davydov and Poddubnaya 1988), *A. sieboldi* (Poddubnaya et al. 2003), and *D. olrikii* (Davydov et al. 1997). The uterine epithelium in some cyclophyllideans also has a nucleate surface layer that produces material that contributes to protection of the eggs (Conn and Etges 1984).

The ultrastructure of the distal portion of the uterus, with its powerful muscle layers and epithelial microtriches, is basically similar to that of *D. latum* (Poddubnaya 2002). In the Caryophyllidea (Davydov et al. 1994, Poddubnaya 2003b) as well as in the other pseudophyllidean species (Korneva 2002, Poddubnaya 2003a) this part of the uterus has apical lamellae. A muscular sphincter regulates release of eggs into the host’s intestine. The presence of typical tegumental structures in the distal part of the uterus in *C. truncatus* and *D. latum* suggests that, unlike the rest of the uterus, it has been formed by an ingrowth from the cestode tegument. A similar mode of differentiation of the cirrus and vagina was described in the tetraphyllidean *Phyllobothrium vagans* (*Phyllobothriidae*) by Beveridge and Smith (1985).

**Figs. 39–43.** Distal portion of the uterus of *Cyathocephalus truncatus*. **Fig. 39.** Distal uterine epithelial wall with an egg within the uterus lumen. **Fig. 40.** Eliminated nucleus and apical lamellae near the distal portion of the uterus. **Fig. 41.** Closely packed folds of the epithelial wall of the uterine distal portion without eggs in the lumen. **Fig. 42.** Apical microtriches on the surface of the distal uterine portion. **Fig. 43.** Muscular sphincter beneath uterine wall of the terminal end of the distal uterine portion. Abbreviations: BP – basal part of microtriches; DB – electron-dense bodies; DP – distal part of microtriches; EN – eliminated nucleus; ES – eggshell; L – lamellae; ML – muscle layers; MT – microtriches; UE – uterine epithelium; UL – uterus lumen; UGM – uterus glandular material; V – vesicles. Scale bars: Figs. 39–41, 43 = 2 µm; Fig. 42 = 0.2 µm.
Our studies have shown that the structure of the oviduct and distal portion of the uterus of *C. truncatus* and *D. latum* are similar to each other. This human parasite, *D. latum*, was previously placed together with other diphyllobothriids, bothriocephalids, triaenophorids, cephalochlamydids and other cestodes of teleost fish and marine mammals within the Pseudophyllidea (Schmidt 1986, Bray et al. 1994). This order, however, is now shown to be an assemblage of two unrelated clades (“Diphyllobothriidea” and “Bothriocephalidea”), with the former group being more related to caryophyllideans (Mariaux 1998, Kodedová et al. 2000, Olson et al. 2001).

On the other hand, both spathebothriideans (*D. olrikkii* and *C. truncatus*) and the monozoic (monopleuroid) caryophyllideans have a glandular middle uterus. This similarity may be reflected in the presumably most basal position of the Caryophyllidea and Spathobothriidea among eucestodes, as demonstrated by morphological and some molecular analyses (Hoberg et al. 2001, Olson et al. 2001).

While revealing many new morphological differences and similarities, ultrastructural data on the male and female genital system of *C. truncatus* described in the present study and by Poddubnaya et al. (2005) are undecisive as to the placement of spathebothriideans among basal tapeworms. These data thus support the statement of Petkevičiūtė (1996, p. 1213), based on her karyological studies of *C. truncatus* and other cestodes, that the information available “does not exclude the possibility of close phylogenetic relations between Spathobothriidea and Caryophyllidea, as well as between Spathobothriidea and Pseudophyllidea”.
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