New genus of opecoelid trematode from *Pristipomoides aquilonaris* (Perciformes: Lutjanidae) and its phylogenetic affinity within the family Opecoelidae
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Abstract: *Bentholebouria colubrosa* gen. n. et sp. n. (Digenea: Opecoelidae) is described in the wenchman, *Pristipomoides aquilonaris* (Goode et Bean), from the eastern Gulf of Mexico, and new combinations are proposed: *Bentholebouria blatta* (Bray et Justine, 2009) comb. n., *Bentholebouria longisaccula* (Yamaguti, 1970) comb. n., *Bentholebouria rooseveltiae* (Yamaguti, 1970) comb. n., and *Bentholebouria ulaula* (Yamaguti, 1970) comb. n. The new genus is morphologically similar to *Neolebouria* Gibson, 1976, but with a longer cirrus sac, entire testes, a rounded posterior margin with a cleft, and an apparent restriction to the deepwater snappers. Morphologically, the new species is closest to *B. blatta* from *Pristipomoides argyrogrammicus* (Valenciennes) off New Caledonia but can be differentiated by the nature of the internal seminal vesicle (2–6 turns or loops rather than constrictions), a longer internal seminal vesicle (occupying about 65% rather than 50% of the cirrus sac), a cirrus sac that extends further into the hindbody (averaging 136% rather than 103% of the distance from the posterior margin of the ventral sucker to the ovary), and a narrower body (27% rather than 35% mean width as % of body length). A Bayesian inference analysis of partial sequence of the 28S rDNA from *Neolebouria lanceolata* (Price, 1934), *Cainocephalidium lintoni* (Siddiqi et Cable, 1960), *Hamarexidium mutabile* Linton, 1910, *Opecoeloides fimbriatus* (Linton, 1910), *Podocotyloides brevis* Andres et Overstreet, 2013, the new species, and previously published comparable sequences from 10 opecoelid species revealed two clades. One clade includes deep-sea (≥ 200 m) and freshwater fish opecoelids + *Opecoeloides* Bremer in Rudolfi, 1819, and a second clade included those opecoelids from shallow-water marine, perciform fishes.
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During a study on the helminths of marine fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico, we detected an undescribed species of the family Opecoelidae Ozaki, 1925 infecting the intestinal tract of the wenchman, *Pristipomoides aquilonaris* (Goode et Bean). Using Cribb’s (2005) key to the family, we keyed the species to the problematic genus *Neolebouria* Gibson, 1976. In particular, the new species was most similar to four other species of *Neolebouria* from the relatively deepwater snapper genera *Pristipomoides* Bleecker and *Etelis* Cuvier (Lutjanidae).

*Neolebouria* was erected for *Neolebouria georgiensis* Gibson, 1976 from the Antarctic fishes *Parachuenichthys georgianus* (Fischer) (Bathydraconidae) and *Chaneanichthys aceratus* (Lönnberg) (Channichthyidae) (see Gibson 1976). Gibson (1976) included *Plagioporus*-like worms containing an irregularly lobed ovary and vitelline fields confluent in the forebody in *Neolebouria*. Gibson and Bray (1982) amended the generic diagnosis to accommodate *Neolebouria merretti* Gibson et Bray, 1982, which has vitelline fields in the forebody that are not confluent. Zdzitowiecki (1990) considered *Crassicutis antarcticus* Sziat et Graefe, 1967 to be conspecific with *N. georgiensis* and proposed for it the new combination *N. antarctica* (Sziat et Graefe, 1967). Shimazu and Negasawa (1985) considered *Neolebouria* congeneric with *Macvicaria* Gibson et Bray, 1982, but Shimazu (1990) later accepted the genus. Morphologically, *Neolebouria* differs from *Macvicaria* primarily by having a lobed rather than an entire ovary. In Cribb’s (2005) revision of the Opecoelidae, he retained *Neolebouria* but with the caveat that the distinction between the two genera may be artificial.

Bray and Justine (2009a) described *Neolebouria blatta* Bray et Justine, 2009 from the ornate jobfish, *Pristipomoides argyrogrammicus* (Valenciennes), and the ruby snapper, *Etelis carbunculus* Cuvier, off New Caledonia. In the same manuscript, they proposed two new combinations: one was for *Plagioporus longisacculus* Yamaguti, 1970 from the crimson jobfish, *Pristipomoides filamentosus* (Valenciennes) [as *P. microlepis* (Bleecker)], as *Neolebouria longisacculus* (Yamaguti, 1970), off Hawaii; the other was for *Plagioporus rooseveltiae* Yamaguti, 1970 from the oblique-banded snapper, *Pristipomoides zonatus* (Valenciennes) [as *Rooseveltia brighami* (Seale)], as *Neolebouria rooseveltiae* (Yamaguti, 1970) also off Hawaii. Bray and Justine (2009a) suggested that those three trematodes, along with another Hawaiian species, *Neolebouria ulaula* (Yamaguti, 1970) (syn. *Plagioporus ul-
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Specimens of the wenchman, *Pristipomoides aquilonaris*, the Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus* (Linnaeus), the beardfish, *Polynixia lowei* (Günther), and the grey snapper, *Lutjanus griseus* (Linnaeus), were collected by trawl during the 2008, 2010–2012 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) fall pelagic trawl surveys in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The grey conger, *Conger esculentus* (Poey), and the red grouper, *Epinephelus morio* (Valenciennes), were collected by longline (see Andres and Overstreet 2013) during the 2009 NMFS Caribbean survey. Fish were examined for trematodes aboard ship. Trematodes were washed briefly in a 0.8% saline solution, fixed with near-boiling tap water and placed in either 5% neutral buffered formalin solution or 70% molecular grade ethanol. Other specimens were placed at room-temperature in 95% molecular grade ethanol and refrigerated for later sequencing. Specimens were stained in Van Cleave’s haematoxylin, aqueous Mayer’s haematoxylin, followed by destaining in 1% sodium hydroxide solution, or water-based ammonium alum carmine solution.

Stained specimens were then dehydrated in a graded alcohol series. When specimens stained with Van Cleave’s haematoxylin or carmine reached 80% ethanol, they were neutralized with one drop of lithium carbonate dissolved in 80% ethanol and two drops of a highly dilute butylamine solution. Dehydrated specimens stained with Van Cleave’s or carmine solution were cleared in clove oil, specimens stained in Mayer’s were cleared in methyl-salicylate and all were mounted in Canada balsam on glass slides. Measurements were made using a compound microscope equipped with a differential interference contrast, a Canon EOS Rebel T1i camera, and calibrated digital software (iSolutions Lite). Measurements are presented as micrometres (µm) and given for the holotype followed by a range of measurements from other specimens in parentheses. Illustrations were made with the aid of a drawing tube and digitally inked using a Wacom tablet (Wacom Co., Vancouver, Washington).

Genomic DNA was extracted from one hologenophore specimen of *Neolebouria* sp., Pleijel et al. (2008) and a second entire specimen of the new species *Neolebouria lanceolata*, two entire specimens of *Hamacreadium mutabile*, *Opecoeloides fimbriatus* and *Podocotyloides brevis*, and an entire single specimen of *C. lintoni*, using Qiagen DNAeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA) and following the instructions provided. DNA fragments approximately 1100 basepairs (bp) long comprising the 5’ end of the internal transcribed spacer region 2 (ITS2) nuclear rDNA gene and the 5’ end of the 28S gene (including variable domains D1–D3) were amplified from the extracted DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on a PTC-200 Peltier Thermal Cycler using forward primer LSU5 (5’-TAggtcgAcccgctgAAYt-3’) and reverse primer 1500r (5’-gct AtcctgAgcA-3’) and 900F (5’-ccgtctt -ACgtgAgggAAAgttg-3’) and 1819 (5’-CGTATCCTGAGGGAACCTTCG-3’). Sequencing reactions used the previous primers and the internal forward primers 300F (5’-tAggtcgAcccgctgAAYt-3’) and internal reverse primer EcD2 (5’-CTTGGTCCGTGTTCAAGACGGG-3’). The resulting PCR products obtained following the protocols of Tkach et al. (2003) were excised from PCR gel using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) following kit instructions, cycle-sequenced using ABI BigDye TM chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA), ethanol-precipitated, and run on an ABI 3130 Genetic AnalyzerTM. Contiguous sequences were assembled using SequencerFM (Version 4.10.1, GeneCodes Corp., Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) and submitted to GenBank. Newly obtained sequences (Table 1) and sequences of opecoelids previously deposited in GenBank were used in the phylogenetic analysis.

Table 1. Species of the Opecoelidae collected from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea and their respective host species, GenBank accession number for the 28S, and deposition information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Depth range (m)</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>GenBank</th>
<th>USNPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bentholebouria colubrosa</td>
<td>Pristomoides aquilonaris</td>
<td>2010, 2012</td>
<td>138–163</td>
<td>26°58’38”N 64°34’77”W</td>
<td>KJ001207</td>
<td>107969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cainocreadium lintoni</td>
<td>Epinephelus morio</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18°25’27”N 64°59’51”W</td>
<td>KJ001208</td>
<td>107970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamacreadium mutabile</td>
<td>Lutjanus griseus</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26°57’02”N 83°45’45”W</td>
<td>KJ001209</td>
<td>107971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neolebouria lanceolata</td>
<td>Polymixia lowei</td>
<td>2008, 2012</td>
<td>329–430</td>
<td>28°37’45”N 86°05’48”W</td>
<td>KJ001210</td>
<td>107972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opecoeloides fimbriatus</td>
<td>Micropogonias undulatus</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>27°59’57”N 95’34’06”W</td>
<td>KJ001211</td>
<td>107973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podocotyloides brevis</td>
<td>Conger esculentius</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>18°10’10”N 67°20’20”W</td>
<td>KJ001212</td>
<td>106704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

et al. 2007), and *Pseudopycnoderma tendu* Bray et Justine, 2007 (FJ788S06) (Bray et al., 2009) (all Opecoelidae), plus *Paragonimus kellicotti* Ward, 1908 (HQ900670) (Fischer et al. 2011) and *Paragonimus westermani* (Kerbert, 1878) (AY116874) (Olson et al. 2003) (both Paragonimidae). The sequences were aligned initially using ClustalX 2.1 (Larkin et al. 2007) with the default setting and penalties (gap opening = 10, gap extension = 0.02, delay divergent sequences = 30%, and DNA transition weight = 0.5). The alignment was adjusted by eye in Bioedite, ver. 7.1.3.0. (Hall 1999) and trimmed to the shortest sequence on both 5’ and 3’ ends.

The alignment included a total of 1 167 sites after the trimming and removal of ambiguous regions, 765 of which were conserved. No intraspecific variation was found in cases when sequences were obtained from multiple individuals of each species. Phylogenetic analysis of the data was performed using Bayesian inference with MrBayes 3.1.2 software (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001), with all other settings left as default. *Paragonimus westermani* was selected as the outgroup based on its phylogenetic position relative to the opecoelids (Olson et al. 2003).

**RESULTS**

**Bentholebouria** gen. n.

Description. Opecoelidae. Body of adult slightly more than quarter of length, flattened subcylindrical, more tapered anteriorly than posteriorly, with posterior margin having cleft. Oral sucker subterminal, without ornamentation. Ventral sucker approximately at or near level of anterior third of body, larger than oral sucker. Prepharynx indistinct to short. Pharynx subglobular to globular, smaller than oral sucker. Oesophagus present. Intestinal bifurcation approximately at mid-body. Caecele ending blindly. Testes 2, oblique. Cirrus sac elongate claviform, extending into hindbody or to posterior margin of ventral sucker. Genital pore at level of or just anterior to intestinal bifurcation, sinistrally submedian. Ovary lobed (3–9 lobes), anterodorsal to anterior testes. Seminal receptacle canaliculatus. Uterus intercaecalis. Vitellarium follicular, extending into forebody, circumcaecal, confluent in post-testicular region. Excretory vesicle I-shaped, passes mediadorsalis to testes to level of ovary. Excretory pore at base of posterior cleft. In intestine of relatively deepwater lutjaniids, known from *Pristomoides* and *Etelis*.

**T y p e  s p e c i e s:** Bentholebouria colubrosa sp. n.

**E t y m o l o g y:** The Greek *Bentholebouria* for ‘deep’ refers to the hosts *Pristomoides* and *Etelis*, commonly called the deepwater snappers. We treat *Bentholebouria* as feminine because the initial generic name *Lebouria* was apparently named after the late marine biologist Marie V. Lebour.

**Remarks.** Of marine plagioporine genera that have all or some members with a lobed ovary and unfilamented eggs, the new genus is morphologically most similar to *Neolebouria*, *Cainocreadium* Nicoll, 1909, *Hamacreadium* and *Podocotyle* Dujardin, 1845. It can be distinguished from most members of *Neolebouria* by having a combination of a cirrus sac that extends at least to the posterior margin of the ventral sucker, entire testes, a rounded posterior margin with a cleft, and an apparent restriction to the deepwater snapper genera *Pristomoides* and *Etelis*.

*Bentholebouria* can be distinguished from *Cainocreadium* by having a submedian genital pore that is at the level of, or just anterior to, the intestinal bifurcation rather than a median, post-bifurcal genital pore. *Bentholebouria* is similar to *Hamacreadium* but has a longer cirrus sac and an excretory vesicle that extends only to the level of the ovary rather than into the forebody. *Podocotyle* is a ‘taxonomic mine-field’ (Gibson and Bray 1982), but its members usually have a trilobed ovary, tandem testes and vitelline fields that are restricted to the hindbody or just enter the forebody.

**Bentholebouria colubrosa** sp. n.

Description (based on 16 mature measured whole-mounts): Body orangish-pink in life, elongate spatulate, 2.7 mm (1.9–2.7 mm) long, 683 (545–759) wide at mid-

Fig. 1–4. Bentholebouria colubrosa gen. et sp. n. from Pristipomoides aquilonaris. Fig. 1. Dorsal view, holotype. Fig. 2. Ventral view, paratype. Fig. 3. Ventral view, female complex, dark ‘U’ shape outline of posterior end of cirrus sac. Fig. 4. Ventral view, terminal genitalia.
23% (20–25%) of CS, unspined, may be pocketed. Genital atrium 28 (20–42) long.

Ovary 201 (71–231) long, 125 (75–213) wide, with 4 (4–7) lobes, slightly separate to contiguous with dextral margin of anterior testis, ventral to CS. Laurer’s canal containing seminal receptacle, opening dorsally; seminal receptacle approximately same size as ovary, oval, dorsal to ovary. Mehlis’ gland anterodorsal to ovary. Uterus pretesticular, intercaecal, with walls of metraterm not significantly thickened. Eggs operculate, 62 (50–72) long, 34 (32–41) wide. Vitellarium comprised of 2 lateral fields of small follicles; fields extending from level 92 (11–122) anterior to ventral sucker, lateral groups dorsal and ventral to testes, circumcaecal, confluent in post-testicular region.

Excretory vesicle I-shaped, wider posteriorly, narrows anteriorly, extends dorsondially to level of ovary; pore at base of posterior clft, with globular sphincter.

**Type host:** Pristipomoides aquilonaris (Goode et Bean), wenchman, Lutjanidae.

**Site:** Intestine.

**Type locality:** Gulf of Mexico, West Florida Shelf, approximately 230 km south east of Tampa Bay, Florida, 26°29'46"N, 84°30'10"W, at 138 m depth.

**Other localitites:** Gulf of Mexico, West Florida Shelf; 26°58'38"N, 84°34'37"W, at 163 m depth; 26°7'57"N, 84°06'13"W, at 153 m depth.

**Infections:** 10 worms from 5 pooled fish (2010, type locality); 3, 0, 0, 0, and 0 worms from 6 fish (2012, 163 m depth); 4, 1, and 1 worms from 3 fish (2012, 153 m depth).

**Specimens deposited:** United States National Parasite Museum Collection (USNPC), Beltsville, MD, USA, holotype (USNPC 107967); paratypes in the British Museum of Natural History London, England (2014.3.13.1), and Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, České Budějovice, Czech Republic (IPCAS D–699).

**Etymology:** The Latin, adjectival, feminine name *colubrosa* refers to the winding, turning, looping nature of the seminal vesicle.

**Remarks.** *Bentholebouria colubrosa* sp. n. is chosen as the type species because it is coupled with molecular data. Bray and Justine (2009a) suggested that the deep-water snappers (primarily *Pristipomoides*) likely hosted a monophyletic clade of opecoelids that share similar morphological features and life-histories. We agree with them and propose the combinations *Bentholebouria blatta* (Bray et Justine, 2009) comb. n., *Bentholebouria longisaccula* (Yamaguti, 1970) comb. n., and *Bentholebouria ulaula* (Yamaguti, 1970) comb. n. for species from deepwater lutjanids previously attributed to *Neolebouria*.

Morphologically, *B. colubrosa* is most similar to *B. blatta* but can be distinguished by having an internal seminal vesicle that has two to six turns and loops rather than one that is elongated, occasionally with several contractions and without turns or loops. Additionally, the new species has a longer internal seminal vesicle (occu-
pying approximately 65% rather than approximately 50% of the cirrus sac), a cirrus sac that extends further into the hindbody (averaging 136% rather than 103% of the distance from the posterior margin of the ventral sucker to the ovary), and a narrower body (27% rather than 35% of the mean width as % body length). Compared with the Hawaiian species, *B. colubrosa* is most similar to *B. roosevelti*, but that species has an elongate and saccular internal seminal vesicle and a cirrus sac that extends to the level of the posterior end of the ventral sucker. The new species can be differentiated from the other four species of *Bentholebouria* based on geography, with the new species being located in the Gulf of Mexico off Florida, whereas *B. blatta* occurs off New Caledonia (Bray and Justine 2009) and the other three species off Hawaii (Yamaguti 1970). In 2012, we did not find the new species in 36 specimens of *P. aquilonaris* sampled from nine stations north of 27°N, but we did find it in 4 of 10 hosts from three stations south of 27°N.

**Molecular analysis.** The Bayesian inference analysis of partial 28S rDNA gene sequences showed the monophyly of the Opecoelidae and revealed two major clades, ‘A’ and ‘B’ (Fig. 5). The two major plus most subclades were characterized by high posterior probability values. Clade ‘A’ included opecoelids that infect Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico deep-sea fishes (*Gaevskajatrema halosauropsi*, *Neolebouria lanceolata* and *Podocotyloides brevis*), Nearctic freshwater fish (*Plagiocirrus loboides*), and both species of the opecoelid genus *Opecoeloides* Odhner, 1928. Clade ‘B’ includes members that primarily infect shallow-water marine fishes in the perciform suborders Percidoide and Labroidei (*Bentholebouria colubrosa*, both species of *Cainocreadium*, *Gaevskajatrema perezi*, *Hamacreadium mutabile*, the three species of *Macvicaria*, *Peracreadium idoneum* and *Pseudopycnadenia tendu*). The analysis also revealed that *Macvicaria* and *Gaevskajatrema* *Gibson et Bray*, 1982 are polyphyletic.

**DISCUSSION**

We erected *Bentholebouria* because our phylogenetic analysis agrees with the suggestion by Bray and Justine (2009a) that the opecoelids from the deepwater snappers likely form a monophyletic clade; the new species and *Neolebouria lanceolata* were resolved in two different clades. Consequently, we have combined four species formerly in *Neolebouria* that share morphological and ecological similarities with the new species in *Bentholebouria*. Following the key to *Neolebouria* by Bray and Justine (2009b), we could also included *Neolebouria georgenascimentoi* Bray, 2002 infecting the rollizo sandperch, *Pinguipes chilensis* Valenciennes, and the tilefish, *Prolatilus jugularis* (Valenciennes) (both *Pinguipedidae*), off Chile based on the entire testes and a cirrus sac that extends posterior to or overlaps with the ovary, but we chose not to include *N. georgenascimentoi*, a species with interrupted vitelline fields, in the new genus based on the lack of sequence data, host differences and some morphological differences such as a tapered posterior margin rather than being rounded with a cleft at the excretory pore.

We retain *N. lanceolata* in *Neolebouria*, even though the phylogenetic status of *Neolebouria* is still unresolved until the type species, *N. antarctica*, is sequenced, and its affinity to *N. lanceolata* can be confirmed. The frequent finding of deep-sea fish trematodes of the family Lepidapedidae Yamaguti, 1958 (*sensu* Bray and Cribb 2012) in fishes from Antarctic continental-shelf fishes may indicate that some members of that family are also adapted for cold waters (Bray et al. 1999, 2009, Bray 2004). This adaptation suggests that *N. lanceolata*, with its bathydemersal hosts (*Polymixia* spp.), belongs in *Neolebouria*. Physical and environmental conditions along the Antarctic Shelf may facilitate deep-sea invertebrate faunas around the Antarctic being related to both adjacent shelf communities and to those in other oceans (e.g. Gage 2004, Brandt et al. 2007). If so, perhaps the intermediate hosts of *N. antarctica* and *N. lanceolata* are phylogenetically closer to each other than to those of shallow-water intermediate hosts.

Recent studies on the opecoelids (Jousson et al. 2000, Jousson and Bartoli 2001) and other groups (see Poulin 2011) have revealed that morphologically similar species occurring in different hosts (Curran et al. 2013) or in different geographical locations (Miller and Cribb 2007, Detwiler et al. 2010) are sometimes cryptic species. Our specimens identified as *N. lanceolata* are similar to those reported by both Price (1934) and Reimer (1987), but future workers should use sequence data to compare specimens from the Indian Ocean with those of this study to see if *N. lanceolata* reported by Reimer (1987) actually represents a cryptic species. This comparison would be particularly useful because the host and basin are different. If *N. lanceolata* does not represent a species complex, host-parasite systems in the deep-sea would seem to follow the same pattern exhibited by free-living deep-sea organisms, i.e. genetic divergence is much greater between populations at different depths than with those separated geographically at the same depth (see Etter et al. 2011). Manter (1966) suggested the same pattern for the cold-water adapted derogenid *Derogenes varius* (Müller, 1784), which is a common shallow-water parasite of fishes at higher latitudes and probably has a continuous, world-wide distribution in deeper waters. Since *N. antarctica* has been reported from three families of demersal Antarctic fish (*Bathydraconidae*, *Channichthyidae*, *Nototheniidae*) (Zdzitowiecki 1988, 1990, 1991), it may be a complex of species.

Bray and Justine (2009a) suggested that the four species we now consider in *Bentholebouria* may be close to *Hamacreadium*, based on similar host preference (lutjanids) and morphological features. We determined that *B. colubrosa* was in a subclade with *Hamacreadium* but more closely related to *Cainocreadium*, though only with
moderate support. *Hamacreadium mutabile* was closest to *Macvicaria macassarensis* from the trumpet emperor, *Lethrinus miniatus* (Forster) (Lethrinidae), forming a subclade sister to *B. colubrosa* + *Caioycreadium*.

*Neolebouria* has been suggested to have a close relationship with *Macvicaria* by Shimazu and Nagasawa (1985) and Shimazu (1990). We were unable to investigate this hypothesis because the three species of *Macvicaria* used in our analysis fell out in two different positions in the shallow-water host clade. Therefore, the phylogenetic position of *Macvicaria* remains speculative, and the relationship of *Macvicaria* to the rest of the members of clade ‘B’ in the present analysis is inconclusive.

*G. halosauropsi* was also represented in both of our major clades, *G. halosauropsi* in clade ‘A’ and *G. perezi* in clade ‘B’. Of the three deep-sea species in our phylogeny, *G. halosauropsi* is from the greatest depth (2570 m; see Bray and Campbell 1996) and is sister to the two slope-species, *Podocotyloides brevis* and *Neolebouria lanceolata*. Bray and Campbell (1996) described *G. halosauropsi* from *Halosauropsis macrochir* (Günther) and amended the generic diagnosis of *Gaevskajatrema* to include forms with a lobed ovary, *Gaevskajatrema perezi* is the type species of the genus and is known from labrids in shallow waters of the NE Atlantic (Gibson and Bray 1982) and Mediterranean (Jousson et al. 1999). Thus, *Gaevskajatrema* (sensu stricto) probably includes shallow-water forms with an entire ovary, short caeca and vitelline follicles that do not extend beyond the testes, and are likely from perciform fishes.

*Plagiocirrus loboides* was the only freshwater species used in this analysis, and it was sister to the three deep-sea forms. In the phylogenetic analysis by Curran et al. (2007), *P. loboides* was closest to *O. furcatus*, which led the authors to suggest that the relationships among genera in the Plagioporporinae Manter, 1947 needs to be re-evaluated. We agree with Curran et al. (2007), since we found both species of *Opecoeloides* were sister to each other and were resolved in clade ‘A’. However, all but the two species of *Opecoeloides* (Opecoeloidae Ozaki, 1925) used in our Bayesian inference analysis are plagioporines.
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