A new genus with two new species of lecanicephalidean tapeworms (Cestoda) from the mangrove whipray, *Urogymnus granulatus* (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae), from the Solomon Islands and northern Australia
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**Abstract:** A new lecanicephalidean genus is erected for cestodes previously recognised as “New Genus 12” (Polypocephalidae) in a phylogenetic analysis of the interrelationship of members of this order. Examination of the cestode fauna of the mangrove whipray, *Urogymnus granulatus* (Macleay) (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae) from the Solomon Islands and northern Australia revealed the existence of specimens representing two new species, consistent in morphology with “New Genus 12.” *Corollapex* gen. n. is unique among the 24 valid lecanicephalidean genera in its possession of an apical organ in the form of an external retractable central disk surrounded by eight concave muscular, membrane-bound pads and an internal heterogeneous glandular component. The two new species described herein, *Corollapex cairae* sp. n. (type species) and *Corollapex tingoi* sp. n., differ from one another in overall size and number of mature and immature proglottids, and are noted to demonstrate a differential distribution between mature and juvenile host individuals. Additional species diversity in the new genus, beyond species described herein, is suggested. *Corollapex* gen. n. appears to be restricted to dasyatid hosts in the Indo-West Pacific region.
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Very little is known about the cestode fauna of the mangrove whipray, *Urogymnus granulatus* (Macleay), from across its range in the Indo-West Pacific region (see Last et al. 2016a). Williams (1964) described the rhinebothridian *Rhinebothrium himanturi* Williams, 1964 and reported the presence of a potentially new species (referred to as “*Rhinebothrium sp.*”) from *U. granulatus* (as *Himantura granulata* [Macleay]) collected from Heron Island, Queensland, Australia. Subsequently, Schaeffner and Beveridge (2012) reported the trypanorhynch *Prochristianella clarkeae* Beveridge, 1990 from *U. granulatus* (as *H. granulata*), also from Queensland. Onchoproteocephalidean and lecanicephalidean cestode records are conspicuously absent, as are records from outside of Australia.

As part of a global survey of elasmobranch-hosted cestodes, ten specimens of the mangrove whipray were collected from the Solomon Islands and northern Australia between 1997 and 2012 and examined for cestodes. The rays were found to host two new species of lecanicephalidean cestodes exhibiting a conspicuous, multi-partite apical structure comparable in complexity only to the apical structure of *Seussapex* Jensen et Russell, 2014 (see Jensen and Russell 2014). The two new species are consistent in scolex morphology and proglottid anatomy with the taxon referred to by Jensen et al. (2016) as “New Genus 12.” A new genus is erected herein to house the two new species from *U. granulatus* and “New Genus 12 n. sp. 1” of Jensen et al. (2016). This is the first record of lecanicephalidean tapeworms from *U. granulatus*, and the third report of lecanicephalideans from the Solomon Islands (see also Cielocha et al. 2013, Jensen et al. 2016). The third report of lecanicephalideans from the Solomon Islands is suggested. *Corollapex* gen. n. appears to be restricted to dasyatid hosts in the Indo-West Pacific region.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Host specimens examined consisted of ten individuals of the mangrove whipray, *Urogymnus granulatus*, from the Solomon Islands and northern Australia. Eight specimens were collected from near Rarumana (08°13’24”S; 157°00’02”E), off the island of Vonavona, Western Province, Solomon Islands between 19–23 March 2012 (host specimens nos. SO-9, SO-17–19, SO-21, SO-23–25). Two specimens were collected from Australia: one specimen from Darwin (12°20’11”S; 130°54’39”E), Northern Territory on 6 August 1997 (host specimen no. AU-32) and one specimen from Weipa (12°35’11”S; 141°42’34”E), Queensland on 15 April 2004 (host specimen no. CM03-74). Additional host data are given in Table 1. Rays were captured using a gill net or hand spear. The body cavity of each ray was opened with a mid-ventral incision. Select worms were removed and fixed in 95% formalin in 1% glutaraldehyde, and stored in 70% ethanol. The blind of each ray was opened with a mid-ventral longitudinal incision. Select worms were removed and fixed in 95% formalin in 1% glutaraldehyde, and stored in 70% ethanol.

**Research Article**

Folia Parasitologica 2017, 64: 004
doi: 10.14411/fp.2017.004
http://folia.paru.cas.cz

**Address for correspondence:** K. Jensen, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and the Biodiversity Institute, University of Kansas, 1200 Sunnyside Ave., Lawrence, KS 66045, USA. Phone: +1 785 864 5826; Fax: +1 785 864 5860; E-mail: jensen@ku.edu

**Zoobank number for article:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1033E9DA-7BC9-4FF4-A711-E25AF0292EF2

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
ethanol for future molecular analysis. The remaining worms and the spiral intestine were fixed in 10% seawater-buffered formalin and eventually transferred to 70% ethanol for permanent storage. Tapeworm specimens of the new genus were found parasitising eight of the ten host specimens examined (see Table 1).

Formalin-fixed specimens were prepared as whole mounts for light microscopy as follows. Worms were hydrated, stained in Delafield’s hematoxylin, differentiated in tap water, destained in 70% acidic ethanol, alkalinised in 70% basic ethanol, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, cleared in methyl salicylate, and mounted on glass slides under cover slips in Canada balsam.

Specimens for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were prepared as follows. They were hydrated, transferred to 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) and refrigerated at 4 °C overnight, rinsed in distilled water, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and transferred to hexamethyldisilizane (HMDS) (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, California, USA) for 30 min. Worms were then allowed to air-dry before being mounted on aluminium stubs on double-sided adhesive carbon tape. Specimens were sputter-coated with ~35 nm of gold/palladium and examined with an FEI Versa 3D Dual Beam (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA) scanning electron microscope at the Microscopy and Analytical Imaging Laboratory, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.

Histological sections of two terminal proglottids (1 terminal proglottid of each of the 2 new species) were prepared as follows. Terminal proglottids were removed and the scolex and remaining strobila were saved and prepared as a permanent whole-mounted voucher. Terminal proglottids were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin following conventional protocols. Serial sections were cut at 7 μm intervals using an Olympus TBS CUT 4060 microtome (Triangle Biomedical Sciences, Durham, North Carolina, USA). Sections were attached to glass slides by floating on ~10 μl drops of distilled water, and allowed to air-dry. Sections were depaaffinised in xylene, hydrated, stained with hematoxylin, counterstained in eosin, differentiated in Scott’s solution, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and cleared in xylene. Sections were then mounted under cover slips in Canada balsam.

Histological sections of scolecels of each species were prepared as follows. Scolecels were removed, and the strobila was prepared as a whole-mounted voucher. Scolecels were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, transferred to a 1:1 solution of 100% ethanol and Technovit® H7100 infiltrating resin (Heraeus Kluzer GmbH, Wehrheim, Germany) for two hours, then transferred to pure infiltrating resin and refrigerated at 4 °C overnight. Scolecels were then embedded in Technovit® H7100 embedding solution in plastic block holders. Serial sections were cut at 4 μm intervals with a glass knife using an Olympus TBS CUT 4060 microtome. Sections were attached to Fisherbrand® SuperFrost Plus charged microscope slides (Fisherbrand; Fisher, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA) by floating on ~10 μl drops of distilled water, and allowed to air-dry. Sections were stained with haematoxylin, counterstained in eosin, differentiated in Scott’s solution, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, dried for ~2 min in a 15 °C oven, and then mounted under cover slips in Canada balsam. Scoleca vouchers of specimens for which scolecels were prepared for SEM or sectioned, and scolex vouchers of specimens for which mature proglottids were sectioned, were prepared as whole mounts following methods described above.

### Table 1. Juvenile and mature specimens of *Urogymnus granulatus* (Macleay) examined for *Corollapex* gen. n. with their disk width measurements, sex and regional collection locality.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Collection locality</th>
<th>Host specimen number</th>
<th>Disk width (cm)</th>
<th>C. caireae gen. n. et sp. n.</th>
<th>C. tingoi gen. n. et sp. n.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Western Province, Solomon Islands</td>
<td>SO-21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qld., Australia</td>
<td>CM03-74</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N.T., Australia</td>
<td>AU-32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>Western Province, Solomon Islands</td>
<td>SO-9</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-17</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-18</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO-19</td>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*see http://tapewormdb.uconn.edu for additional host specimen information.*

F – female; M – male; Qld. – Queensland; N.T. – Northern Territory.

Line drawings were made using a camera lucida attached to a Zeiss Axioskop 2 Plus (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC, Thornwood, New York, USA). Photomicrographs of whole mounts and histological sections were obtained with a Leica DFC420 (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, Illinois, USA), a Leica DFC480 or a Lumenera Infinity 3 (Lumenera Corporation, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) attached to a Zeiss Axioskop 2 Plus. Measurements were made using OpenLab Demo Version 4.0.4 or the Leica Application Suite V3 (Leica Microsystems) image analysis software programs. Measurements are reported in micrometres unless otherwise specified and are given as ranges followed in parentheses by the mean, standard deviation, number of individuals measured, and total number of measurements made if more than one measurement was taken for each individual. Measurements of reproductive organs are made from terminal mature proglottids only. Microtrichix terminology follows Chevry (2009). Elasmosbranch higher classification follows Naylor et al. (2012a); dasyiatid classification follows Last et al. (2016b). Museum abbreviations used are as follows: Institute of Parasitology (IPCAS), Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic; Lawrence R. Penner Parasitology Collection (LRP), University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, USA; Queensland Museum (QM), South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; National Museum of Natural History (USNM), Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., USA.

### RESULTS

**Corollapex** gen. n.

ZooBank number for species: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2FEF86C7-5425-4929-A8D3-5F300C00CDE2

### Diagnosis.

Worms euapolytic. Scolex with scolex proper, four acetabula and apical structure consisting of apical modification of scolex proper (AMSP) and apical organ. Acetabula in form of suckers. Apical modification of scolex proper cylindrical, housing apical organ; posterior portion with conspicuous hastate spinincthes, anterior rim invaginable; anterior portion devoid of...
Corollapex sp. n. possesses an apical organ divided into glandular component. 

Cephalic peduncle absent. Proglottids slightly craspedote, non-laciniate; immature proglottids not laterally expanded; conspicuous, circumcortical longitudinal muscle bundles absent. Testes four, arranged in single medial column, one layer deep in cross-section, in single field anterior to ovary. Vas deferens sinuous, extending from level posterior to ovary to posterior margin of anterior-most testis, expanded to form external seminal vesicle. Cirrus sac pyriform, angled anteriorly, containing cirrus. Cirrus armed, thin-walled. Internal seminal vesicle present. Genital pores lateral, irregularly alternating; genital atrium shallow.

Ovary H-shaped in dorsoventral view, tetrablobed in cross-section, compact. Vagina medial, thin-walled, sinusous, extending from ootype region to cirrus sac, opening into genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Seminal receptacle absent. Vitellarium follicular; vitelline follicles large, in two lateral bands; each band consisting of one dorsal and one ventral column, extending almost entire length of proglottid, partially interrupted by ovary; follicles (with few exceptions) absent anterior to cirrus sac on poral side. Uterus saccate, mostly medial, extending from anterior margin of ovary to posterior margin of anterior-most testis. Eggs not observed. Excretory vessels in two lateral pairs. Parasites of Dasyatidae (Myliobatiformes). Indo-West Pacific region.

Type species: Corollapex cairae sp. n.

Additional species: Corollapex tingoi sp. n.; Corollapex sp. n. 1 (as “New Genus 12 n. sp. 1” in Jensen et al. [2016])

Etymology: Corolla, Latin, feminine, diminutive of corona; crown, wreath, halo, rim, border. This genus is named for the unique shape of the external component of its apical organ, which resembles a whorl of flower petals.

Remarks. The phylogenetic analysis of Jensen et al. (2016) based on molecular sequence data placed a specimen consistent with the generic diagnosis of Corollapex gen. n. (referred to therein as “New Genus 12 n. sp. 1”) robustly within the Polypocephalidae. Morphological data support this placement, including the possession of a single column of four testes, two pairs of excretory vessels, vitelline follicles largely interrupted by the ovary, and an elaborate apical structure. Corollapex is easily distinguished from all 24 valid lecanicephalidean genera (see Jensen et al. 2016) by its unique apical structure morphology: an extensive cylindrical apical modification of the scolex proper (AMSP) and an apical organ with an external, retractable component consisting of a central disk surrounded by eight concave muscular, membrane-bound pads and an internal glandular component.

Specifically, Corollapex can be distinguished from the other genera in the Polypocephalidae as follows. While Polypocephalus Braun, 1878 and Anthemobothrium Shipley et Hornell, 1906 possess an apical organ divided into tentacles and Fl apocephalus Deshmukh, 1979 an apical organ in the form of two muscular semi-circles, the apical organ of Corollapex is in the form of a central disk surrounded by eight concave muscular, membrane-bound pads. Corollapex differs from Anteropora Subhapradha 1955 (with the exception of A. comicus [Jensen, Nikolov et Caira, 2011]) and Hornellobothrium Shipley et Hornell, 1906 in possessing a scolex with acetabula in the form of suckers rather than bothridia. However, while A. comicus is hyperapolytic and possesses an AMSP that is highly elongate, Corollapex is apolytic and possesses an AMSP that is not highly elongate. Additionally, unlike Hornellobothrium, Corollapex does not possess laterally expanded proglottids in the anterior region of its strobila.

Corollapex most closely resembles Seussapex in its relatively large overall body size, and its possession of four acetabula in the form of suckers and a large, retractable, multipartite apical structure. However, they can be distinguished from one another in that the apical organ of Seussapex is externally bipartite (knob-like anterior and dome-shaped posterior parts, each independently retractable) housing two glandular compartments internally, while the apical organ of Corollapex is externally a single unit in the form of a central disk surrounded by eight concave muscular, membrane-bound pads, housing a single, albeit heterogeneous, compartment internally.

Following Jensen et al. (2016), three lecanicephalidean genera (C corrugatocephalum Caira, Jensen et Yamane, 1997, Healyum Jensen, 2001 and Quad cuspi bothrium Jensen, 2001) remain incertae sedis. Its prominent apical organ easily distinguishes Corollapex from Healyum and Quad cuspi bothrium, both of which possess a small, internal apical organ, and from C corrugatocephalum, which possesses an apical organ that is sucker-like with an internal corrugated surface. Corollapex is further distinguished from Quad cuspi bothrium in having acetabula in the form of suckers rather than diamond-shaped bothridia. Corollapex can be distinguished from C corrugatocephalum and Quad cuspi bothrium in its possession of testes in a single, rather than two or more layers. While Corollapex possesses a cirrus armed with spinitriches, the cirrus of Healyum lacks spinitriches (i.e. is unarmed).

Corollapex cairae sp. n.

Figs. 1, 2, 5A–C

ZooBank number for species: urn:lsid.zoobank.org:act:A60B364B-3BF7-473D-B2BE-009BF770B02C

Description (based on whole mounts of ten complete mature and one incomplete mature worms, cross-sections of one mature proglottid, frontal sections of two scolecis, and one scolex prepared for SEM and its strobilar voucher). Worms euapolytic, 3.8–9.2 mm (6.8 ± 2 mm; 10) long; maximum width at level of scolex; proglottids 47–93 (68 ± 17; 11) in number. Scolex (Figs. 1A, 2A) 308–527 (432 ± 74; 11) long by 211–295 (241 ± 32; 11) wide, consisting of 4 acetabula, apical modification of scolex proper, and apical organ. Acetabula in form of suckers, 47–71 (61 ± 6; 11; 46) in diameter. Apical modification of scolex
proper (AMSP) cylindrical, housing apical organ; anterior portion and anterior rim of posterior portion invaginable. Apical organ with external and internal components; external component in form of central disk surrounded by 8 concave muscular, membrane-bound pads (Fig. 2I), 202–305 (253 ± 32; 10) long by 289–339 (307 ± 20; 8) wide when protruded, retractable, non-invaginable; central disk with opening to internal component (Fig. 2I); muscular pads 72–124 (96 ± 18; 8; 19) long by 73–102 (85 ± 9; 9; 18) wide; internal component glandular, heterogeneous (Figs. 1A, 5A).

Scolex proper (Fig. 2F) with capiliform filitriches. Distal acetabular surface (Fig. 2H) with gladiate spintriches and acicular filitriches. Posterior portion of AMSP (Fig. 2D) with large hastate spintriches and capiliform filitriches; hastate spintriches less dense toward posterior margin of posterior portion of AMSP (Fig. 2E). Anterior portion of AMSP (Fig. 2C) with acicular to capiliform filitriches. External component of apical organ (Fig. 2B) with acicular filitriches. Proglottids (Fig. 2G) with capiliform filitriches.

Cephalic peduncle absent. Proglottids slightly craspedote, non-laciniate. Immature proglottids 44–89 (64 ± 16; 500 µm).

Fig. 1. Line drawings of Corollapex cairae gen. n. et sp. n. from Urogymnus granulatus (Macleay). A – whole worm (paratype, LRP 9126); B – scolex with protruded apical organ (holotype, QM G235510); C – mature terminal proglottid, dorsal view (holotype, QM G235510); arrowheads indicate level at which sections in Fig. 5B,C were taken.
11) in number, initially wider than long, becoming longer than wide with maturity; posterior-most immature proglottid 278–535 (393 ± 91; 12) long by 146–237 (196 ± 31; 12) wide. Mature proglottids 1–7 (4 ± 2; 11) in number, first mature proglottid 336–618 (470 ± 95; 12) long by 171–256 (217 ± 27; 12) wide, terminal proglottid 400–1,857 (911 ± 400; 11) long by 146–260 (198 ± 35; 11) wide.

Testes 4 in number, 36–102 (60 ± 14; 11; 31) long by 29–157 (85 ± 31; 10; 27) wide, arranged in single medial column, 1 row deep in cross-section (Fig. 5B), in field from near anterior margin of proglottid to near anterior margin of ovary. Vasa efferentia not observed. Vas deferens sinuous, extending from level posterior to ovary to posterior margin of anterior-most testis, expanded to form external

Fig. 2. Scanning electron micrographs of Corollapex cairae gen. n. et sp. n. from Urogymnus granulatus (Macleay). A – scolex with protruded apical organ (AO); small letters indicate location of details shown in Fig. 2B–H; B – acicular filitriches on external component of AO; C – acicular to capiliform filitriches on anterior portion of apical modification of scolex proper (AMSP); D – hastate spininriches and capiliform filitriches on posterior portion of AMSP; E – sparse hastate spininriches and capiliform filitriches on posterior margin of posterior portion of AMSP transitioning to scolex proper (SP); F – capiliform filitriches on proglottid; H – gladiate spininriches and acicular filitriches on distal acetabular surface; I – central disk of external component of AO. Abbreviations: aAMSP – anterior portion of apical modification of scolex proper; eAO – external component of apical organ; pAMSP – posterior portion of apical modification of scolex proper.
semenal vesicle in mature proglottids. Cirrus sac pyriform, angled slightly anteriorly, 75–124 (100 ± 20; 8) long by 37–114 (74 ± 22; 10) wide, containing coiled cirrus. Cirrus armed with spinotriches, thin-walled. Internal seminal vesicle present. Genital pores laterally, irregularly alternating, 56–74% (66 ± 6; 11) of proglottid length from posterior margin; genital atrium shallow.

Ovary H-shaped in dorsoventral view, tetralobed in cross-section (Fig. 5C), 33–233 (139 ± 60; 9) long by 82–141 (116 ± 22; 7) wide, compact; ovarian bridge at center of ovary. Mehlis’ gland near posterior margin of ovary. Vagina medial, thin-walled, sinuous, extending from ootype to genital atrium, opening into genital atrium posterior to cirrus sac. Seminal receptacle absent. Vitellarium follicular; vitelline follicles medullary, large, 14–108 (50 ± 22; 11; 33) long by 19–61 (39 ± 11; 10; 30) wide, in 2 lateral bands; each band consisting of 1 dorsal and 1 ventral column (Fig. 5B), extending from posterior margin of proglottid to level posterior to genital pore (individual follicles in few specimens anterior to genital pore) on poral side and to level of posterior margin of anterior-most testis on aporal side, partially interrupted by ovary. Uterus saccate, mostly medial, extending from slightly posterior to anterior margin of ovary to level of anterior-most testis, laterally displaced in mature proglottids (Fig. 1A). Eggs not observed. Excretory vesicles in 2 lateral pairs.

**Type and only host:** *Urocamalus granulatus* (Macleay), the mangrove whipray (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae).

**Type locality:** Near Rarumana (08°13’24”S; 157°00’02”E), Vonavona Island, Western Province, Solomon Islands, Solomon Sea (host specimen nos. SO-23 and SO-24).

**Additional localities:** Near Rarumana (08°14’13”S; 157°01’54”E), Vonavona Island, Western Province, Solomon Islands, Solomon Sea (host specimen no. SO-21); Weipa (02°35’11”S; 141°42’34”E), Queensland, Australia, Gulf of Carpentaria (host specimen no. CM03-74).

**Site of infection:** Spiral intestine.

**Prevalence of infection:** 40% (4 of 10 host specimens).

**Type material:** Holotype (QM No. G235510), five paratypes (QM Nos. G235511–G235515; 3 whole mounts, 1 proglottid cross-section series and its whole-mounted voucher, and 1 scolex frontal section series stained with hematoxylin and its whole-mounted voucher); three paratypes (USNM Nos. 142068–142070; all whole mounts), five paratypes (LRP Nos. 9162–9177; 3 whole mounts, 1 SEM voucher, and 1 scolex frontal section series stained with PAS and its whole-mounted voucher); one paratype (IPCAS No. C-743; whole mount); scolex prepared for SEM retained in the personal collection of KJ at the University of Kansas.

**Additional material:** Nine immature or incomplete voucher specimens (7 whole mounts, and 2 scolecæ prepared for SEM and their whole-mounted vouchers) retained in the personal collection of KJ at the University of Kansas.

**Etymology:** This species is named in honour of Janine N. Caira for her extensive, major contributions to and boundless support of lecanicephalidean biodiversity research.

**Remarks.** While most lecanicephalideans, particularly polyocephalids, are small, some less than 1 mm in total length (see Caira and Jensen 2014), this new species is somewhat unusual in reaching total lengths of up to 9.2 mm. It is also of note that the apical organ of all specimens of this new species recovered was fully or mostly protruded. All 15 type specimens and 9 voucher specimens examined in this study parasitised juvenile host specimens less than 34 cm in disk width (see Table 1).

**Corollapex tingoi** sp. n.  Figs. 3, 4, 5D–G


**Description** (based on whole mounts of 14 complete mature worms, cross-sections of one mature proglottid, frontal sections of two scolecæ, and two whole worms and one scolex prepared for SEM). Worms euapolytic, 1.1–3.3 mm (2 ± 1 mm; 14) long; maximum width 205–296 (244 ± 28; 14) at level of scolex; proglottids 14–30 (20 ± 5; 14) in number. Scolex 385 (n = 1) long when apical organ everted (Fig. 5G), 238–336 (296 ± 25; 13) long when apical organ retracted (Figs. 3B, 4A), consisting of 4 acetabula, apical modification of scolex proper, and apical organ. Acetabula in form of suckers, 51–72 (60 ± 5; 14; 58) in diameter. Apical modification of scolex proper (AMSP) cylindrical, housing apical organ; anterior portion and anterior rim of posterior portion invaginable. Apical organ with external and internal components; external component in form of central disk surrounded by 8 concave muscular, membrane-bound pads, 229 (1) long by 249 (1) wide when protruded, 182–283 (232 ± 27; 13) long by 160–243 (201 ± 27; 13) wide when retracted, non-invaginable; central disk with opening to internal component; muscular pads 51–74 (64 ± 6; 14; 27) long by 42–68 (59 ± 7; 14; 28) wide; internal component glandular, heterogeneous.

Scolex proper (Fig. 4D) with capilliform filicæ. Distal acetabular surface (Fig. 4E) with gladiate spinotriches and acicular filicæ. Posterior portion of AMSP (Fig. 4B) with large hastate spinotriches and acicular to capilliform filicæ. Anterior portion of AMSP and apical organ microtriches not observed. Proglottids (Fig. 4G) with capilliform filicæ.

Cephalic peduncle absent. Proglottids slightly craspedote, non-laciniate. Immature proglottids 13–27 (19 ± 4; 14) in number, initially wider than long, becoming longer than wide with maturity; posterior-most immature proglottid 161–328 (229 ± 48; 14) long by 91–187 (135 ± 27; 14) wide. Mature proglottids 1–3 (2 ± 1; 14) in number, first mature proglottid 270–633 (434 ± 133; 14) long by 110–190 (148 ± 21; 14) wide, terminal proglottid 375–890 (585 ± 137; 14) long by 118–195 (147 ± 21; 14) wide.

Testes 4 in number, 28–80 (49 ± 14; 14; 40) long by 32–107 (71 ± 16; 14; 40) wide, arranged in a single medi- column, 1 row deep in cross-section, in field from near anterior margin of proglottid to near anterior margin of ovary. Vasa efferentia not observed. Vas deferens sinuous, extending from level posterior to ovary to posterior margin of anterior-most testis, expanded to form external seminal vesicle in terminal mature proglottids. Cirrus sac pyriform,
angled slightly anteriorly, 52–150 (83 ± 27; 13) long by 32–86 (53 ± 18; 13) wide, containing coiled cirrus. Cirrus armed with spinitriches, thin-walled. Internal seminal vesicle present. Genital pores lateral, irregularly alternating, 61–68% (65% ± 2; 14) of proglottid length from posterior end; genital atrium shallow.

Ovary H-shaped in dorsoventral view, tetralobed in cross-section (Fig. 5F), 56–188 (101 ± 43; 13) long by 52–127 (84 ± 23; 14) wide, compact; ovarian bridge at center of ovary. Mehlis’ gland near posterior margin of ovary. Vagina medial, thin-walled, sinuous, extending from ootype to genital atrium, opening into genital atrium posterior to level of cirrus sac. Seminal receptacle absent. Vitellarium follicular; vitelline follicles medullary, large, 8–59 (32 ± 13; 14; 42) long by 16–50 (26 ± 8; 14; 42) wide, in 2 lateral bands; each band consisting of 1 dorsal and 1 ventral column (Fig. 5E), extending from posterior margin of proglottid to level posterior to genital pore (individual follicles in few specimens anterior to genital pore) on poral side and to level of posterior margin of anterior-most testis on aporal side, partially interrupted by ovary. Uterus saccate, mostly medial, extending from slightly posterior

Fig. 3. Line drawings of Corollapex tingoi gen. n. et sp. n. from Urogymnus granulatus (Macleay). A – whole worm (holotype, QM G235516); B – scolex with retracted apical organ (paratype, QM G235517); C – mature terminal proglottid, dorsal view (paratype, LRP 9179); arrowheads indicate level at which sections in Fig. 5E,F were taken.
to anterior margin of ovary to level of anterior-most testis, laterally displaced in mature proglottids. Eggs not observed. Excretory vessels in 2 lateral pairs.

**Type and only host:** *Urogymnus granulatus* (Macleay), the mangrove whipray (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae).

**Type locality:** Near Rarumana (08°13’24”S; 157°00’02”E), Vonavona Island, Western Province, Solomon Islands, Solomon Sea (host specimens nos. SO-9, SO-17, SO-18, SO-19 and SO-23).

**Site of infection:** Spiral intestine.

**Prevalence of infection:** 50% (5 of 10 host specimens).

**Type material:** Holotype (QM No. G235516), six paratypes (QM Nos. G235517-G235522; 4 whole mounts, 1 proglottid cross-section series and 1 scolex frontal section series stained with hematoxylin, and their whole-mounted vouchers); five paratypes (USNM Nos. 1422071-1422075; all whole mounts); four paratypes (LRP Nos. 9178-9186; 3 whole mounts and 1 scolex frontal section series stained with hematoxylin and its whole-mounted voucher); one paratype (IPCAS No. C-744; whole mount); one scolex prepared for SEM and its whole-mounted voucher, and two whole worms prepared for SEM retained in the collection of KJ at the University of Kansas.

**Additional material:** Eleven whole-mounted immature voucher specimens retained in the personal collection of KJ at the University of Kansas.

**Etymology:** This species is named for Tingo Leve, WWF Solomon Islands, for his facilitation of and logistical support for collections in the Solomon Islands.

**Remarks.** This new species, though very similar in overall scolex morphology and proglottid anatomy to *Corollapex cairae*, can be distinguished from the latter species in that it possesses fewer proglottids overall (14–30 vs 47–93, respectively), but only slightly fewer mature proglottids (on average 2 [1–3] vs 4 [1–7], respectively). Additionally, while the first mature proglottid in *Corollapex tingoi* sp. n. is proglottid number 14–28, the first mature proglottid in *C. cairae* is proglottid number 45–90. Consequently, the two species also differ from one another in total length; while mature specimens of *C. tingoi* only reach a maximum total length of 3.3 mm, those of *C. cairae* are 3.8–9.2 mm in total length.

All 15 type and 9 voucher specimens of the large species, *C. cairae*, were found exclusively parasitising juvenile host individuals less than 34 cm in disk width, while...
18 type and 11 voucher specimens of the small species, *C. tingoi* sp. n., were found parasitising mature host individuals greater than 100 cm in disk width; only two specimens of *Corollapex tingoi* were found parasitising a single juvenile ray smaller than 34 cm in disk width. Thus, despite all but two of the ten rays having been collected from essentially the same locality within one to four days of one another, the two cestode congeners co-occurred in only a single, small ray (see Table 1).

All but one of the individual worms of *Corollapex tingoi* examined herein presented with their apical organs fully or mostly retracted. Thus, scolex length with the apical organ protruded could only be measured for a single specimen.

**Corollapex** sp. n. 1 of Jensen et al. (2016)  

**Synonyms:** New Genus 12 n. sp. 1 of Jensen et al. (2016)  

**Host species:** *Maculabatis gerrardi* (Gray) (as *H. cf. gerrardi* 2 of Naylor et al. [2012b] in Jensen et al. 2016), whitespotted whipray (*Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae*).  

**Locality:** Sidu (01°21'45''S; 110°04'10''E), West Kalimantan, Borneo, Java Sea (host specimen no. KA-211).  

**Site of infection:** Spiral intestine.  

**Specimens examined:** LRP No. 8790 (hologenophore).
**DISCUSSION**

In the recent phylogenetic analysis by Jensen et al. (2016) based on molecular sequence data, Corollapex, represented by Corollapex sp. n. 1 (referred to therein as “New Genus 12 n. sp. 1”) from Maculabatis gerrardi (Gray) (as Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 of Naylor et al. 2012b), placed robustly within the Polypocephalidae. In fact, its proglottid anatomy (i.e. the possession of a single column of four testes, two pairs of excretory vessels and vitelline follicles largely interrupted by the ovary) is essentially identical to that of other genera in the family (see fig. 3 of Jensen et al. 2016). While the shape of its apical organ is unique among polypocephalid genera, the complexity of the apical structure in general is most similar to that of Seussapex. Anterior to the scolex proper (SP) bearing four suckers, both genera possess a cylindrical apical modification of the scolex proper (AMSP) comprising distinct posterior (with hastate spinitriches) and anterior (without hastate spinitriches; not known for C. tingoi) portions, and an apical organ (AO). In both genera, at least the anterior portion of the AMSP is invaginable into the SP and the AO is retractable into the AMSP, and ultimately also into the SP. Internal AO morphology is also quite similar in both genera; they possess one or more large internal glandular compartment(s) associated with the external component of the AO.

However, the most conspicuous difference between Corollapex and Seussapex is in morphology of the external component of the AO. Whereas members of Seussapex have a bipartite AO (i.e. posterior retractable dome-shaped part and anterior retractable and/or invaginable knob-like part) that is not conspicuously muscular, the AO of members of Corollapex is not bipartite and is conspicuously muscular. In fact, the eight concave muscular, membrane-bound pads of Corollapex are entirely unlike the components of any described lecanicephalidean scolex to date. Each muscular pad appears to be controlled by a distinct internal muscle bundle that runs the entire length of the scolex, and presumably is responsible for retraction of this component of the AO into the SP.

Despite the similarity of apical organ complexity, representatives of Corollapex and Seussapex included in the phylogenetic analyses of Jensen et al. (2016) were not recovered as sister taxa. Instead, the representatives of Seussapex were recovered as sister to the two representatives of “New Genus 11” with high support, while the representative of Corollapex placed, albeit with low support, as sister taxon to a clade comprising members of Anteropora and Anthemobothrium.

With the description of Corollapex, the Lecanicephalidea now comprise 25 genera. Host associations of individual genera vary; for example, species of Anteropora collectively parasitize batoids of the torpediniform families Narkidae Fowler (Yamaguti 1934, Euzet 1994) and Narcinidae Gill (Subhapradha 1955, Jensen et al. 2011, Jensen et al. 2016), and the myliobatiform family Dasyatidae (see Mojica et al. 2013), and sharks of the orectolobiform family Hemiscylliidae Gill (see Jensen 2005). In contrast, species of Zanobatoides Jensen, Mojica et Caira, 2014 parasitise Zanobatus schoenleinii (Müller et Henle) (see Jensen et al. 2014), the sole species in the family Zanobatidae Fowler (Rhinopristiformes). Corollapex is one of 10 genera reported from dasyatid stingrays and one of only five genera that appear restricted to dasyatid hosts – the other four genera are Anthemobothrium, Flapoccephalus, Seussapex and Tetragonocephalus Shipley et Hornell, 1905. To date, published records for Corollapex are restricted to Urogymnus granulatus, reported here, and Maculabatis gerrardi (Gray) (as H. cf. gerrardi 2 of Naylor et al. [2012b] in Jensen et al. 2016), as reported by Jensen et al. (2016).

Preliminary data suggest that at least six additional new species of Corollapex parasitise rays in the Indo-Pacific Ocean. In addition to parasitising U. granulatus and M. gerrardi, specimens consistent in morphology with the generic diagnosis of Corollapex were found parasitising a diversity of other species in the subfamily Urogyminae (i.e. Brevitrygon walga [Müller et Henle], Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 of Naylor et al. [2012b] [now a member of Maculabatis], Pateobatis vamancoides [Bleeker], Urogymnus lobistomus [Manjaji-Matsumoto et Last] and Urogymnus polypepis [Bleeker]), as well as a single species of Neotrygon Castelnau (i.e. N. orientale Last, White et Séret; Neotrygoninae), all from waters in or around Borneo. Unfortunately, more specimens are needed to formally describe any one of these additional species in the future.

Based on the locality data for the specimens of Corollapex described herein, the specimens included in Jensen et al. (2016), and the additional host records listed above, the geographic distribution of Corollapex is limited to the waters surrounding the Solomon Islands and Borneo (including the Kinabatangan River), and northern Australia. While the actual geographic distribution of the genus is likely to include additional regions in the Indo-West Pacific region, it is curious that despite sampling of dasyatid hosts of the same or additional species from, for example, Vietnam, Madagascar, the Red Sea and northeastern India, specimens of Corollapex have not been recovered from these regions. The absence from at least a subset of these regions is likely a sampling artifact.

The host specimens of U. granulatus available for this study fell into one of two size classes: four mature individuals with a disc width (DW) of greater than 100 cm and six juvenile individuals with a DW of less than 34 cm (see Table 1). As mentioned in the remarks sections, specimens of C. cairae and C. tingoi were not randomly distributed among these host individuals. All 24 type and voucher specimens of C. cairae examined were recovered from juvenile rays of a disk width less than 35 cm while 29 type and voucher specimens of C. tingoi were recovered from mature rays of a disk width greater than 100 cm; only two specimens of C. tingoi co-occurred with specimens of C. cairae in a juvenile host and both specimens were recovered from the same host individual (see Table 1). While there is some evidence in elasmobranch tape-worms to suggest that species composition within a single host species may change depending on host size (see Caira 1992; J.N. Caira, University of Connecticut, Connecticut, USA – unpubl. data in Caira and Euzet 2001;
T. Mattis – pers. comm. in Caira 1990), to date, there are no data to suggest that conspecific host individuals of different sizes are differentially parasitised by conspecific worms of different sizes. Even if this were the case, there would be no reason to expect larger worms exclusively in smaller hosts and vice-versa, and there is no evidence to suggest that individual tapeworms decrease in size as their hosts grow and mature. Thus, separate species status for *C. cairae* and *C. tingoi* is warranted at this time.

The distributional pattern exhibited by these two species of *Corollapex* may be an artifact of the relatively limited number of hosts examined of each size class and that, in fact, both species equally parasite specimens of *U. granulatus* of all size classes. Alternatively, there is evidence to suggest that differential host diet of juvenile and mature *U. granulatus* may play a role. For gape-limited predators like teleosts, diet is strongly impacted by size, with the potential for gape-limit to contribute to a diet shift during maturation (e.g. Okada and Taniguchi 1971, Hambright 1991, Mittelback and Persson 1998, Dörner and Wagner 2003, Huss et al. 2008, Byström et al. 2012). Such ontogenetic shifts in diet are particularly well documented within the Elasmobranchii (Hoffmayer and Parsons 2003, Bethea et al. 2006, Hussey et al. 2011, Newman et al. 2012, Shiffman et al. 2014), including batoids (Brickle et al. 2003, Farias et al. 2006, Dale et al. 2011, Navia et al. 2011, Espinoza et al. 2013, Säntiè et al. 2013, Spath et al. 2013). Body size has been demonstrated to have such a significant impact on prey choice that a predatory individual will likely share a greater proportion of its diet with a similarly-sized individual of a different species than with a conspecific of a different size (Polis 1984, Bax 1998). Assuming then that individuals of *U. granulatus* may consume qualitatively different prey items dependent upon their size, the differential distribution of the large and small species of *Corollapex* between small and large host specimens, respectively, could be accounted for by each tapeworm species utilising a different species or type of intermediate or paratenic host.

Unfortunately, few data are available on the diet or feeding biology of *U. granulatus* and no data are available on intermediate or paratenic host use of species of *Corollapex* to corroborate this hypothesis of differential transmission. The stomach contents of three specimens of *U. granulatus* of a disk width of greater than 78 cm were listed by Ishihara et al. (1993). The stomach of the largest, presumably mature, specimen (male, 97 cm DW) was said to contain “…a very large sipunculid, crushed fragments of a calappid crab, and the well-digested remains of three small fishes (mainly vertebral columns, but one fish intact enough to estimate SL at about 28 mm),” while the stomach contents of a slightly smaller male (78.95 cm DW) and female (79.6 cm DW) was said to contain “…a abrid (probably *Halichoeres* sp., 50 mm SL), *Valenciennesia* sp. (70 mm SL), an unidentified small gobid, two sipunculids (79–86 mm) and a small octopus” and “…two *Siganus* sp. (51–77 mm SL), one blennioid (54 mm SL), one pomatenc- triid (37 mm SL), and the head of a gobid,” respectively (Ishihara et al. 1993, p. 26). Stomach content data of juvenile *U. granulatus* of similar disk width to those specimens examined for this analysis (i.e. less than 35 cm DW) are not available for comparison. Once again, the need for further investigation into elasmobranch tapeworm life-cycles and the link between host ecology and tapeworm community composition is evident.
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