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DETECTION OF GIARDIA INTESTINALIS IN DUODENAL ASPIRATE AND IN THE STOOL
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Abstract. Compared was the detection of Giardia intestinalis in duodenal contents and in the stool. During endoscopic examination duodenal contents were recovered by means of a tube attached to the mucosa and by aspiration from the region of pars verticalis. In 102 persons, duodenal and stool examinations revealed four and five cases of Giardia infection, respectively. The results showed that both diagnostic methods are equivalent and demonstrated that parasitologic examinations of patients suffering from dyspeptic disorders are very useful.

Giardiasis, a disease induced by a protozoan parasite Giardia intestinalis, can objectively be diagnosed only by a direct finding of a parasite in biological material. The following detection methods are used:

— stool examination for the presence of Giardia cysts
— examination of duodenal contents obtained during endoscopic examination, or examination of duodenal fluid recovered by duodenal tubal aspiration, examination by means of the "Enterotest", a gelatine capsule etc., followed by examination of fresh or Giemsa stained smears
— examination of biopsies of duodenal mucosa


MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the Department for Endoscopy, Medical Faculty Hospital, Košice, the following diagnoses were made in examining 102 patients suffering from gastro-duodenal diseases:

1. duodenal ulcer
2. peptic ulcer in stomach
3. operated stomach (various types of resection)
4. pain in epigastrum, chronic gastritis
5. gastric carcinoma

Examinations were performed with the aid of an esophagogastroduodenoscope GIF-D (firm Olympus). In duodenoscopy, duodenal contents were recovered by duodenal tubal aspiration from the region of pars verticalis (D 2). The orifice of a tube inserted via a fibroscope was attached to duodenal mucosa. Aspirate from patients recovering from stomach resection was obtained from stump of the stomach or from the duodenum (following resection Billroth II). Subsequently, samples of stool were aken from each patient and examined for the presence of Giardia cysts after the MIFC method.
RESULTS

Results of examinations are presented in Table 1. According to group diagnoses, one, one and three patients were positive for giardiasis in groups 1, 2 and 4, respectively. Despite the fact that immediate microscopic examinations were performed no manifest motility of *Giardia* was observed. The parasites were very often attached to the cells of mucus or to erythrocytes, and first they could be revealed according to the waving movements of ventral flagella which continued to be in motion for several hours even at complete stillness of the parasite’s body.

| Table 1. Giardia cysts in duodenal aspirates of endoscopically examined patients. |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Cysts in duodenal aspirates to and in the stool of endoscopically examined patients | 102 |
| No. of persons examined | 5 |
| No. positive for giardiasis | 4.8 |
| % positive | 4 |
| Giardia in duodenal aspirate | 5 |
| Giardia in the stool | 5 |

DISCUSSION

It is very difficult to take an unambiguous view to the selection of biological material for laboratory diagnosis of *Giardia* cysts. Some clinical conditions affecting the biology of a parasite make the detection of *Giardia* cysts possible only by examining duodenal contents (Villalonga et al., 1980). Examination of duodenal contents is the method to be applied in acute stage of the infection — in the first three weeks after infection when clinical manifestations are most pronounced and the parasites are still absent in the stool (Jokipiikki and Jokipiikki 1977). It is very difficult to explain the findings of Wurbs and Classen (1979) who in three times as many stool examinations detected only 1/4 of infections diagnosed from duodenal contents. Daniger and Lopez (1975) reported that a negative phase lasting 1—2 weeks may occur when low and moderate numbers of cysts are discharged with the stool. During that phase the cysts are lacking in the stool but can appear later again. Coinciding with these findings is the report by Wolfe (1979) who recorded 76 % of positive cases in the first, 90 % in the second and up to 97 % in the third stool sample examination. The author stated that stools can be positive even when duodenal aspirates and biopsies are negative.

Our findings correspond to those reported by Jokipiikki and Jokipiikki (1980) who detected 29 infected persons in three stool examinations, while only 14 patients were detected by examination of duodenal fluid. The single stool examination detected 77 %, and the duodenal examination alone 64 % of the patients. The aspirate was negative if only few cysts were found in the stool. That corresponds with the results of Owen et al. (1979) who during the research on mice found a close correlation between the density of trophozoites on mucus and the number of the expelled cysts. These papers suggest that great differences in detecting *Giardia* parasites in stool examination and examination of duodenal contents really do not exist. As duodenal tubal aspiration and intestinal biopsy are rather difficult and time-consuming procedures three stool examinations performed in three weeks are preferred.
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