**Kudoa saudiensis** sp. n. (Myxosporea: Multivalvulida) infecting oocytes of the Indian mackerel *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (Perciformes: Scombridae)
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**Abstract:** During a survey the occurrence of *Kudoa quraishii* Mansour, Harrath, Abd-Elkader, Alwasel, Abdel-Baki et Al Omar, 2014, recently identified in the muscles of the Indian mackerel, *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (Cuvier), a species of *Kudoa* Meglitsch, 1947 infecting oocytes of mature females of the same host fish was found. The new species, for which the name *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. is proposed, infects oocytes that are enlarged with a whitish colour. The parasite develops in vesicular polysporous plasmodia within the oocyte. Infection occurs with a mean prevalence of 20% (7/35) of examined females. Mature spores are quadratic in shape in api cal view, having four equal valves and four symmetrical polar capsules. Fresh spores are 2.4–3.6 µm long (mean ± SD 3.1 ± 0.3 µm), 4.3–5.4 µm (4.7 ± 0.3 µm) wide and 3.4–4.3 µm (3.8 ± 0.3 µm) in thickness and long. The smaller size of the new *Kudoa* species was the distinctive feature that separates it from all previously described species. Molecular analysis based on the SSU rDNA sequences shows that the highest percentage of similarity of 98.5% was observed with *K. ovivora* Swearer et Robertson, 1999, reported from oocytes of labroid fish from the Caribbean coasts of Panama. The percentage of similarity was 98% with *K. azevedoi* Mansour, Thabet, Chourabi, Harrath, Gtari, Al Omar et Ben Hassine, 2013 and 89% with *K. quraishii*. Phylogenetic analysis of the SSU and LSU rDNA data revealed a consistent of the new species with *K. azevedoi* and *K. ovivora*. Our findings support the creation of *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. that infects oocytes of the Indian mackerel *Rastrelliger kanagurta*.
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The Myxozoa is a large group of microscopic parasites widely distributed and commonly found infecting fish (Kent et al. 2001, Canning and Okamura 2004, Lom and Dyková 2006). Myxozoan infection is often associated with diseases that can cause considerable economic loss (Lom and Dyková 2006). Recently, increasing attention has been paid to myxosporean parasites belonging to the genus *Kudoa* Meglitsch, 1947 of the monotypic family Kudoidae Meglitsch, 1960 that are characterised by the production of myxospores having four or more shell valves and polar capsules (Egusa 1986, Kent et al. 1994, Canning and Okamura 2004, Lom and Dyková 2006). The number of nominal *Kudoa* species is 95, making them one of the largest genera of described myxosporeans (Eiras et al. 2014).

These parasites are typically histozoic, infecting mainly somatic musculature of marine and freshwater fish (Egusa 1986, Lundy et al. 1999, Burger et al. 2008). Some species can cause economic loss through the induction of post-mortem myoliquefaction of fish muscles and by the production of unsightly macroscopic cysts in fish musculature (Lom and Dyková 2006). Infections due to *Kudoa* may also occur less frequently in other organs as a result of tissue tropism or as a consequence of systemic infection. Thus, infections have been reported in various organs such as gills, fins, intestine, heart, kidneys, brain and ovaries (Moran and Kent 1999, Mansour et al. 2013).

Characterisation of *Kudoa* parasites at the species level is sometimes ambiguous when it depends entirely on the shape and dimensions of the mature spore because of the paucity of its morphological features. Molecular characterisation has allowed more accurate identification, particularly when combined with morphometric data of the mature spore, infected tissue, host species and geography (Whipp et al. 2004, Adlard et al. 2005). Molecular characterisation, based particularly on the small subunit ribosomal DNA (SSU rDNA) and the large subunit ribosomal DNA (LSU rDNA), has allowed the revision of some spe-
cies previously considered as different on the basis of the infected hosts species and/or their different geographic distribution (Burger and Adlard 2010a, 2011, Heiniger et al. 2013).

*Kudoa histolytica* Péard, 1928 infecting the Atlantic mackerel *Scomber japonicus* Houttuyn was discovered to be identical with *K. thysites* Gilchrist, 1924 after molecular analysis was performed (Levsen et al. 2008). *Kudoa amamiensis* Egusa et Nakajima, 1980 has been reported worldwide with differences in spore morphometry in more than 10 different host species, but its identification has been facilitated through numerous molecular studies (Egusa and Nakajima 1980, Whipp et al. 2003, Diamant et al. 2005, Burger et al. 2008, Burger and Adlard 2011).

In addition, different species of *Kudoa* with more than four shell valves have been reported having different morphotypes (morphology of spore and number of shell valves) but with identical SSU rDNA sequence (Burger and Adlard 2010b, Miller and Adlard 2012, Heiniger et al. 2013). *Kudoa yasunagai* Hsieh et Chen, 1984 infecting the brain of several fish species, such as *Lateolabrax japonicus* (Cuvier), *Oplegnathus fasciatus* (Temminck et Schlegel), *Seriola quinqueradiata* Temminck et Schlegel, *Paralicthys olivaceus* (Temminck et Schlegel) and *Plotosus lineatus* (Thunberg) in Japan, the Philippines and Australia, have been recorded with differences in the number of shell valves but with highly similar rDNA sequences (Burger et al. 2008, Burger and Adlard 2010b, Miller and Adlard 2012, Shirakashi et al. 2012, Ishimaru et al. 2014).

In the Saudi Arabian coasts, only one *Kudoa* species, *K. quraishii* Mansour, Harrath, Abd-Elkader, Alwaseel, Abdel-Baki et Al Omar, 2014 has been reported infecting the skeletal muscle of the Indian mackerel, *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (Cuvier) in the Red Sea and Arabian Gulf (Mansour et al. 2014).

During an assessment of the occurrence of *K. quraishii*, we noticed the presence of whitish and large-sized oocytes in mature females of the Indian mackerel. When observed under the light microscope, the cytoplasm of the infected oocytes appears to be filled with spores of *Kudoa*. These spores were morphometrically different from those of *K. quraishii*. In this paper we present a description of this new species of *Kudoa* using structural, ultrastructural, histological and molecular data.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Between June and September 2013, 58 Indian mackerel, *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (23 males and 35 females) were bought from fisherman in Jeddah. Fish were also sampled between September and November 2013 from Jazan (30 males and 42 females) and Dammam (45 males and 23 females). The total length varied between 12 and 26 cm. After dissection, all organs were removed to a Petri dish and examined under stereomicroscope.

Smears were made from each organ and examined under the light microscope at magnification of 400× to verify the presence of myxospores. Gonads were examined to identify sex of the fish. Infected ovariies were removed and washed with sterile PBS buffer.

Individual oocyties were carefully removed using a plastic pipette. For spore measurements and morphological analysis, oocyties were squashed onto a microscope slide beneath a coverslip to release spores, which were observed with a light microscope (Olympus BX 51) equipped with a digital camera (Olympus DP72). For phase contrast observations, micrographs of fresh prepared spores were taken at 600× magnification on a Zeiss LSM 700 microscope equipped with Nomarski Differential Interference Contrasting (DIC) optics. Measurements were taken from multiple images of fresh mounted preparations of spores following the recommendations of Lam and Arthur (1989). In addition, smear dried preparations were fixed in frozen methanol, stained with Giemsa and photographed.

To evaluate the effect of the parasite on the host cells, the diameters of infected (n = 50) and uninfected (n = 50) oocyties were measured under a stereo microscope equipped with a reference micrometric rule and compared. Differences were estimated using a t-test.

For histological analysis, 0.5 cm³ of infected ovary was fixed in 10% formalin buffered solution, processed using standard histological protocol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Sections were photographed at different magnifications using a compound microscope.

For transmission electron microscopy, individual infected oocytyes were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 7.3) at 4°C, washed with the same buffer and then postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer for 1 h. After washing three times in the cacodylate buffer, samples were dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol and then embedded in Epoxy resin via propylene oxide. Sections were performed using a Leica Ultra-cut S ultramicrotome. Semi-thin sections were prepared and stained with 0.2% Azur Blue II, pH 8.5. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a Jeol Jem-1011 electron microscope.

For molecular analysis, infected oocyties were washed three times with PBS. Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For PCR amplification genomic DNA (~100 ng) was added to 30 μl of reaction mixture containing each, 200 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1× Taq DNA polymerase buffer (MBI, Fermentas, St.Leon-Rot, Germany) and 1U of Taq DNA polymerase (MBI, Fermentas). Cycling conditions were as follows: one cycle of 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min; and one cycle of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR product was then visualised under a UV-transilluminator after migration in 1% gel agarose electrophoresis. Size of the amplified fragment was estimated using a standard 1 kb DNA ladder marker (Solis, Bio-Dyne, Tartu, Estonia).

The SSU rDNA partial sequence was amplified using the same primers used by Mansour et al. (2013); MyxF144 MyxF818, MyxF1338, MyxR862, MyxR1437, MyxR1944. These primers were used in different combinations of pairs, MyxF144-MyxR862, MyxF818-MyxR1437, MyxF1338-MyxR1944 and MyxF818-MyxR1437, allowing amplification of four overlapping fragments. A fragment of the LSU rDNA gene was also
Table 1. Accession numbers of the SSU and LSU rDNA sequences of myxosporean species used for multiple alignment and phylogenetic tree construction. Percentages of similarities based on the Kimura-2 parameters were obtained after pairwise analysis of *Kudoa saudensis* sp. n. and selected sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Myxosporean species</th>
<th>SSU rDNA accession numbers</th>
<th>LSU rDNA accession number</th>
<th>Kimura-2 parameter distance compared to <em>K. saudensis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa ovirora</em></td>
<td>AY152750</td>
<td>AY302731</td>
<td>98.51 80.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. azevedoi</em></td>
<td>HQS40316</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98.00 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. paraquadricornis</em></td>
<td>FJ792718</td>
<td>FJ792752</td>
<td>97.70 79.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. scomberomari</em></td>
<td>AY302737</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.62 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. quadricornis</em></td>
<td>FJ792721</td>
<td>FJ792754</td>
<td>97.62 79.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. grammaticyni</em></td>
<td>AY302739</td>
<td>AY302729</td>
<td>97.55 79.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. neothunni</em></td>
<td>AB693042</td>
<td>AB710385</td>
<td>97.47 79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. monodactyl</em></td>
<td>DQ439814</td>
<td>FJ792748</td>
<td>97.24 76.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. permuticaapsula</em></td>
<td>AY078429</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.16 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. septempunctata</em></td>
<td>AB553293</td>
<td>AB693040</td>
<td>96.94 75.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. megacapsula</em></td>
<td>AB188529</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.94 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. latolabracis</em></td>
<td>AY382606</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.94 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. ciliatae</em></td>
<td>DQ519390</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.67 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. whipski</em></td>
<td>FJ792725</td>
<td>JX090296</td>
<td>96.78 76.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. neurophila</em></td>
<td>AY17251</td>
<td>GU808774</td>
<td>96.72 74.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. prunusi</em></td>
<td>AB573715</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.40 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. lethini</em></td>
<td>DQ519388</td>
<td>JQ026228</td>
<td>96.71 75.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. thalassom</em></td>
<td>AY302738</td>
<td>HM022136</td>
<td>96.71 77.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. minithyr</em></td>
<td>AY152749</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.63 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. yassunagai</em></td>
<td>AY302741</td>
<td>JQ026229</td>
<td>96.48 76.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. thyristes</em></td>
<td>AY941819</td>
<td>GU191935</td>
<td>96.48 78.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. chaetodon</em></td>
<td>JQ026218</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.41 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. iornata</em></td>
<td>FJ790311</td>
<td>FJ790312</td>
<td>96.40 78.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. dianae</em></td>
<td>AF414692</td>
<td>FJ417058</td>
<td>96.24 74.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. paniformis</em></td>
<td>AF034640</td>
<td>AY302732</td>
<td>96.09 76.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. nova</em></td>
<td>EF644198</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.63 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. alliaura</em></td>
<td>DQ182561</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.70 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. rosenbuschii</em></td>
<td>AY623795</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95.55 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. cookii</em></td>
<td>JX090304</td>
<td>JX090303</td>
<td>95.40 76.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. iwatus</em></td>
<td>AB553295</td>
<td>AB628193</td>
<td>95.16 67.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. hemiscylli</em></td>
<td>GU324957</td>
<td>GU446629</td>
<td>95.00 70.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. carcharhini</em></td>
<td>GU324970</td>
<td>GU446630</td>
<td>95.00 71.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. scomberi</em></td>
<td>AB693044</td>
<td>AB693045</td>
<td>92.14 63.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. crumen</em></td>
<td>AF378347</td>
<td>KC139230</td>
<td>91.90 62.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. shiomitsu</em></td>
<td>AY302724</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91.81 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. leptacanthae</em></td>
<td>JQ974029</td>
<td>JQ974030</td>
<td>91.73 64.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. thunni</em></td>
<td>AB553300</td>
<td>AB553306</td>
<td>91.48 61.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. tracharius</em></td>
<td>AB553299</td>
<td>AB553305</td>
<td>91.31 62.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. amamiensis</em></td>
<td>AY152748</td>
<td>FJ792727</td>
<td>90.84 61.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. hypopodcardialis</em></td>
<td>AY302722</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.65 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pugnasi</em></td>
<td>JF797621</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.60 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. kenti</em></td>
<td>FJ792713</td>
<td>FJ792747</td>
<td>90.09 59.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. puriashii</em></td>
<td>AF134764</td>
<td>KS830722</td>
<td>88.91 61.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratomyxa shasta</td>
<td>AF001579</td>
<td>FJ981818</td>
<td>80.02 16.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ampifled using the primers Kt28S1F and 28S1R (Whipp et al. 2004) with the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. Sequencing of generated fragments from three PCR products of the SSU rDNA and two LSU rDNA were carried out by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea), using the same primers.

**Fig. 1.** Line drawing of the spore of *Kudoa saudensis* sp. n. from *Rastrelliger kanagurta* in apical (A) and lateral (B) views.

Generated overlapping sequences were assembled using the cap3 program (http://phil.univ-lyon1.fr/cap3.php) and edited by visual inspection. The obtained 1,557 bp consensus sequences of the SSU rDNA and 803 bp of the LSU rDNA sequences were deposited in GenBank.

SSU rDNA and LSU rDNA sequences of phylogenetically related species were extracted from GenBank, using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool from the NCBI BLAST web portal (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Forty eight SSU rDNA and thirty six LSU rDNA sequences were selected (Table 1). Sequences were aligned using the Clustal X version 2.0 (Larkin et al. 2007) with default parameters and then edited with the GenDoc software version 2.7 (http://www.nrbsc.org/gfc/genedoc).

Phylogenetic trees based on Maximum parsimony and Maximum likelihood methods were constructed with 1,000 bootstrap replicates to assign confidence levels to branches using the MEGA software version 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). For that, 44 SSU rDNA sequences and 29 LSU sequences were used for the analysis. For all phylogenetic analyses Ceratomyxa shasta Noble, 1950 was used as outgroup. A pairwise distance matrix was performed with the Kimura-2 parameter model distance for transitions and transversions.

**RESULTS**

*Kudoa saudensis* sp. n. Figs. 1–5

**Spore morphology** (based on 30 spores; measurements in micrometres).

Spores stellate in shape with 4 equal symmetrical valves and rounded peripheral edges (Figs. 1, 2). Lateral and apical extensions not observed. Spores bounded by thick bands in apical and side views (Fig. 2A–C). Spores 3.1 ± 0.3 (2.4–3.6) long, 4.7 ± 0.3 (4.3–5.4) wide and 3.8 ± 0.3 (3.4–4.3) thick. Four equal polar capsules convergent, pyriform in apical view, drop like in lateral view and occupying about third of valve volume (Fig. 2A, white arrows). Sutural lines visible in apical view but not in lateral view. Polar filament turns not visible but estimated using transmission electron microscopy. Polar capsules 1.6 ± 0.3 (1.2–1.8) long and 1.3 ± 0.2 (1.1–1.4) wide (Table 2).

Infected oocytes are easily identified under a stereomicroscope by their whitish colour and larger size (Fig. 3).
Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of mature spores of *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. infecting oocytes of *Rastrelliger kanagurta*. A–C – fresh smear showing spores in apical view (black arrows) and in side view (white arrows); B – phase contrast microphotograph showing extruded polar filaments (arrows); D – May-Grünwald Giemsa stained spores in apical view.

Fig. 3. *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. infecting oocytes of *Rastrelliger kanagurta*. A – superficial view of an ovary showing enlarged infected oocytes (arrows); B – fresh oocyte filled with myxospores observed under a light microscope.
Table 2. Comparison of dimensions of *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. (in bold) with *Kudoa* spp. having four polar capsules and infecting ovaries or encountered in *Scombridae* species or in the Red Sea; range with mean ± SD in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Kudoa</em> species</th>
<th>Host (organ)</th>
<th>Localities</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Polar capsule: Length/width</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa saudiensis</em> sp. n.</td>
<td>Rastrelliger kanagurta (Cuvier) (ovary)</td>
<td>Red Sea (Saudi Arabia)</td>
<td>4.3–5.4 (4.7 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>3.4–4.3 (3.8 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>2.4–3.6 (3.1 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>1.2–1.8 (1.6 ± 0.3)/1.1–1.4 (1.3 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa azevedoi</em></td>
<td>Trachurus trachurus (Linnaeus) (ovary)</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea (Tunisia)</td>
<td>4.0–5.2 (4.6 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>3.3–4.8 (3.8 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>3.0–4.2 (3.5 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>1.5–2.0 (1.5)/1.0–1.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>Mansour et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa crumenata</em></td>
<td>Scaphomeranmorus macalatus (Mitchill) (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Atlantic Ocean, South Florida</td>
<td>9.3–10.4 (9.9)</td>
<td>8.2–9.7 (9.0)</td>
<td>6.8–8.2 (7.5)</td>
<td>3.2–4.6 (4.0)/2.1–2.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>Iversen and Van Meter 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa nova</em></td>
<td>Thunnus obesus (Lowe) (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Atlantic Ocean, Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>5.1–7.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.1–7.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>1.3–2.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>Moran et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa ovivora</em></td>
<td>Thalassoma bifasciatum (Bloch) (ovary)</td>
<td>Sea of Azov</td>
<td>6.7–8.3 (7.7)</td>
<td>5.8–7.7 (6.9)</td>
<td>5.0–7.5 (6.5)</td>
<td>1.7–2.5 (2.1)/1.3–1.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>Swearer and Robertson 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa pagrusi</em></td>
<td>Pagrus pagrus (Linnaeus) (heart muscles)</td>
<td>Red Sea</td>
<td>5.8–7.2 (6.4 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>5.8–7.2 (6.4 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>6.5–8.6 (7.0 ± 0.8)</td>
<td>2.6–4.2 (3.7 ± 0.3)/1.0–1.8 (1.5 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>Al-Quraishy et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa parvus</em></td>
<td>Rastrelliger kanagurta (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Red Sea, Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia)</td>
<td>5.9–6.3 (6.1 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>5.3–5.7 (5.5 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>4.1–4.4 (4.3 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>1.9–2.3 (2.1 ± 0.3)/1.1–1.5 (1.3 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>Mansour et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa scomycterus</em></td>
<td>Scomber japonicus (Houttuyn) (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Sea of Japan</td>
<td>8.2–10.5 (9.2)</td>
<td>7.0–8.8 (8.1)</td>
<td>6.1–6.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>2.5–3.4 (2.9)/1.3–2.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>Li et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa sebastea</em></td>
<td>Sebastes minor (Bartsch) (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>7.3–8.2</td>
<td>5.0–5.5</td>
<td>5.4–5.6</td>
<td>2.0–2.2/0.8–1.0</td>
<td>Aseeva 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa shiomitsu</em></td>
<td>Thunnus orientalis (Temminck et Schlegel) (heart muscles)</td>
<td>Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>8.6–9.8 (9.4 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>6.7–7.5 (7.2 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>5.6–6.8 (6.2 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>2.5–3.0 (2.8 ± 0.2)/1.0–1.4 (1.3 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>Egusa and Shiomitsu 1983; Zhang et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kudoa thalassia</em></td>
<td>Thunnus alalunga (Bonnaterre) (skeletal muscles)</td>
<td>Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>9.2–9.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>7.7–9.0 (8.3)</td>
<td>6.4–6.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>2.2–2.9 (2.5)/1.9–2.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>Matsukane et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fresh oocytes, mounted on a microscopic slide and observed under light microscope, show the presence of mature myxosporides packed inside the host cell (Fig. 3B).

**TEM observation**

Mature spores with four shell valves and four polar capsules (Fig. 4). Three coils of polar filament observed. Shell valves not overlapping and junctions visible (Fig. 4A,B). Sutural lines also visible (Fig. 4C). Mature spores showed thickened material deposited in the apex; this is probably collagen material facilitating projection of the polar filament (Fig. 4B,C).

**Histological observation of ovaries**

Examination of serial sections of infected ovaries showed that infected oocytes were larger than uninfected ones. Large numbers of plasmid occupying the cytoplasm are separated by a septum-like structure (Fig. 5A,B). For newly parasitised oocytes, infection started at the periphery, at the level of the basal membrane towards the centre, before developing broad-based unbranched plasmodia. Yolk granules were trapped in the centre or between plasmodia (Fig. 5A,B). Infected oocytes are significantly larger than uninfected ones, with mean diameter of infected oocytes 345–583 μm (438 ± 61 μm) compared to 148–283 μm (224 ± 32 μm) in uninfected ones.

In all examined sections no immune response following infection with *K. saudiensis* was observed. Oocytes were limited by their membrane, appearing similar to uninfected ones (Fig. 5C).

**Type host:** Rastrelliger kanagurta (Cuvier) (Perciformes: Scombridae).

**Type locality:** Jeddah coast (21°32′36″N; 39°10′22″E), Red Sea, Saudi Arabia.

**Site of infection:** Oocytes.

**Prevalence:** 20% (7/35).

**Material deposited:** One slide of serial histological sections of infected tissue (ZSM121) and one slide of Giemsa.
Fig. 4. Transmission electron micrographs of a mature spore of *Kudoa saudiensis* sp. n. from the oocyte of *Rastrelliger kanagurta*. 

**A** – cross section in apical view showing the four polar capsules (pc), the junctions of the four shell valves (arrows) are not overlapping; 

**B, C** – longitudinal sections of a mature spore through the two polar capsules showing coiled polar filament and deposited material, probably collagen fibres (stars) in the apical part of the mature spore.

stained smear of spores (ZS122) were deposited in the Protists Collection of the Muséum nationale de historie naturelle, Paris, France.

**E t y m o l o g y** : The specific name *K. saudiensis* refers to the country from which the parasite is reported (Saudi Arabia).

**D N A s e q u e n c e s** : SSU and LSU rDNA sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers, KF830719 and KF830720, respectively.
Fig. 6. Phylgenetic tree of Kudoa spp. based on maximum likelihood ($\text{Ln} = -7568.21$) using the SSU rDNA data set showing the close association between Kudoa saudiensis sp. n. (in bold), K. ovivora and K. azevedoi. Bootstrap values from Maximum likelihood/maximum parsimony analysis are indicated at each node. Values below 50% are indicated by dashes. Ceratomyxa shasta was used as outgroup. Abbreviations: H – heart tissue; IT – intestine tissue; N – nervous system; O – ovary; SM – skeletal muscles. The scale bar shows the number of changes per site.

Remarks. Among the ninety five Kudoa spp. described previously, only two of them have been reported thus far in the ovary of the infected fishes. Kudoa saudiensis sp. n. is thus the third species reported infecting the ovary. Based on the host, site of infection and spore morphometrics, ten species of Kudoa are found to resemble the present form (Table 2). The parasite described herein as a new species possesses quite smaller dimensions of spores than K. crumena Iversen, Van et Meter, 1967, K. nova Koval et al., 1967, K. shiomitsu Egusa et Shiomitsu, 1983, K. ovivora Swearer et Robertson, 1999, K. sebastea Aseeva, 2004, K. pagrusi Al Quraishy, Koura, Abdel-Baki, Bashitar, El Deed, Al Rasheid et Abdel Ghafar, 2008, K. thunni Matsukane, Sato, Tanaka, Kamata et Sugita-Konishi, 2011 and K. scomberi Li, Sato, Tanaka, Ohnishi et Kamata Sugita-Konishi, 2013 (see Iversen and Van Meter 1967, Koval et al. 1979, Egusa and Shiomitsu 1983, Swearer et al. 1983, Al Quraishy et al. 2008, Matsukane et al. 2011, Li et al. 2013). In addition, all these previous species were described from muscles except K. ovivora. Although K. quraishii (see Mansour et al. 2014) was described from the same host (R. kanagurta), it was different in having larger spores and was described from the skeletal muscle. In the same way, K. azevedoi Mansour, Thabet, Chourabi, Harrath, Gtari, Al Omar et Ben Hassine, 2013 described from the
ovary, differs in having slightly thinner and shorter spores with smaller polar capsules (Mansour et al. 2013). In addition, the polysporous plasmodia of *K. saudiensis* were vesicular and not tubular and ramified as observed in *K. azevedoi*. Based on these differences, we believe that the *Kudoa* we describe here is a distinct form.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Sequences of 1,557 bp of the SSU rDNA and 735 bp of the LSU rDNA (GeneBank accession number KF830719 and KF830720, respectively) of *K. saudiensis* sp. n. were used for phylogenetic analyses. Maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony trees based on the SSU rDNA sequences were similar and showed a close association between the present new species and the two other known *Kudoa* spp. infecting oocytes, grouping them in the same cluster with a bootstrap support of 99%/98% (Fig. 6). The Kimura–2 parameter distance was 0.015 for *K. ovivora* and 0.02 for *K. azevedoi*, corresponding to a similarity of 98.5% and 98%, respectively (Table 1).

The new *Kudoa* species differed from *K. ovivora* and *K. azevedoi* by 26 bp (12 transitions and 14 transversions) and 52 bp (28 transitions and 24 transversions), respectively. The percentage of similarity between *K. quraishii* and *K. saudiensis* is 88.91%. Topology of the phylogenetic LSU rDNA-based tree was similar to the SSU rDNA-based tree. A clade formed with the new *Kudoa* species and *K. ovivora* is supported by a bootstrap of 92% (Fig. 7). The highest percentage of similarity was also observed with *K. ovivora* (81.01%). The LSU rDNA sequence of *K. azevedoi*, which was present in the SSU rDNA-based tree, is not yet available in GenBank.

**DISCUSSION**

Species of *Kudoa* are rarely reported infecting ovaries with only two species having been found parasitising oocytes; *Kudoa ovivora* has been reported from the eggs of seven larvid species from the Caribbean coast of Panama (Swearer and Robertson 1999), and *K. azevedoi* was described from oocytes of the Atlantic horse mackerel *Trachurus trachurus* (Linnaeus) off the Tunisian coast (Mansour et al. 2013). These two species were both reported to form tubular and ramified plasmodia within infected oocytes.

Recently, *K. quraishii* infecting the skeletal muscle of the Indian mackerel, *Rastrelliger kanagurta* (Perciformes, Scombridae) in the Red Sea and Arabian Gulf has been described by Mansour et al. (2014). We report herein a new species *K. saudiensis* sp. n., infecting oocytes of the same host, *R. kanagurta*, from the Red Sea. Infections with *K. saudiensis* occur only in mature females and were never observed in males or in fish infected with *K. quraishii*.

In the Red Sea, in addition to *K. quraishii*, four other *Kudoa* species have been reported, *K. aegyptia* Koura 2000, *K. pagrusi* and *Kudoa* sp. infecting the hearts of *Rhabdosargus haffara* (Forsskål), *Pagrus pagrus* (Linnaeus) and *Plectropomus maculates* (Bloch), respectively (Koura 2000, Al-Quraishy et al. 2008, Abdel-Ghaffar et al. 2012) and *K. iwatai* Egusa et Shiomitsu, 1983 reported by Diamant et al. (2005) in the skeletal muscles and other organs of the European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* Linnaeus. Compared to the above mentioned species *K. saudiensis* is easily distinguished by its smaller size and the morphology of its spores. In fish of the family Scombridae, numerous myxosporeans with four shell valves have been reported. With the exception of *K. shiomitsu* reported from the heart muscle tissue, all other species have been reported infecting skeletal muscles and have larger spores than *K. saudiensis* (Table 2). The only species infecting Scombrid fish that would be in the range of *K. saudiensis* is *K. nova*, reported from *Thunnus obesus* (Lowe) but also from at least 22 other host species worldwide (Moran and Kent 1999, Burger and Adlard 2011).

The histopathological study shows that like *K. azevedoi* and *K. ovivora*, *K. saudiensis* develops within plasmodia inside the oocytes. However, plasmodia of *K. saudiensis* are vesicular, not tubular and ramified as reported for *K. azevedoi* and *K. ovivora*. According to Mansour et al. (2013), infection of newly infected oocytes starts at the periphery. As reported for *K. azevedoi* and *K. ovivora* infecting ovaries, the host cell seems to remain viable even though it is packed with the parasite. The membranes of the infected oocytes are similar to those of uninfected ones without any deposited material or obvious immune reaction (Mansour et al. 2013). The yolk granules are fairly numerous supporting the functionality of the host cell. This observation was described for *K. azevedoi* by Mansour et al. (2013) as a xenoma-like structure where the parasite and the host cell form a xenoparasitic complex.

In the genus *Kudoa*, xenoma-like formations were observed in two species, *K. thysites* Gilchrist, 1924 and *K. neurophila* Grossel, Dyková, Handlinger et Munaday, 2003 by Moran et al. (1999) and Grossel (2005). The xenoma-like structures induced as a consequence of intraoocyte development of *K. saudiensis* as well as *K. azevedoi*, and presumably in the case of *K. ovivora*, are similar. They are characterised by an enlargement of the cytoplasm without further modifications at the periphery but no precise data were obtained about the nucleus of the host cell. Further investigations, mainly at the ultrastructural level are required in order to elucidate this particular structure.

The effect of the parasite on the fecundity of the host is difficult to assess for wild fish. However, as reported for *K. ovivora* infection (Swearer and Robertson 1999), we believe that infected oocytes are sterile, but this sterility could not affect uninfected oocytes. Moreover, in the se-
sequent hermaphrodite, the fish *Thalassoma bifasciatum* (Bloch), *K. ovivora* influences sex allocation of its host. Thus, it was suggested that females infected by this parasite not only have a lower reproductive success, but also change sex earlier and at a smaller size than uninfected ones (Schärer and Vizoso 2003). Such situation could also lead to a quick loss of the parasite as the ovarian tissue will be replaced by testes. In the case of *K. saudiensis*, further studies are important to assess the potential pathogenic effect of the parasite on infected oocytes and on the reproductive effort of the host, and to characterise the parasite’s life cycle and its mode of transmission.

Molecular analysis, based on the partial sequence of the SSU rDNA gene, confirmed that the present *Kudoa* is a new species exhibiting the highest percentage of sequence similarity (98.5%) with *K. ovivora* (Table 1). The new species has 11.09% of divergence from *K. quraishii* encountered in the same host species. Phylogenetic analysis shows a close association of *K. saudiensis*, *K. ovivora* and *K. azevedoi* in well supported clade. Molecular analysis based on the LSU rDNA data set confirmed the close association of *K. ovivora* and *K. saudiensis* as shown by SSU rDNA data. However, whereas these three species infect three hosts belonging to three different families from three different and distant ecosystems, they appear closely phylogenetically related. Perhaps, identification of other new species infecting oocytes may clarify this relationship. Clustering according to the tissue tropism is well known for many *Kudoa* parasites and is one of the main trends in clustering of myxosporeans (Fiala 2006). We have also observed relatedness of species of *Kudoa* infecting ovaries with those infecting the heart, brain, nervous tissue and intestine. *Kudoa* infecting skeletal muscles, however, are distributed in different clades throughout the main *Kudoa* groups. In this context, further investigations are required in order to attempt to determine the molecular factors controlling the tissue specificity of these *Kudoa* parasites.
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